arXiv:math-ph/0211017v1 11 Nov 2002

On Two-Temperature Problem for Harmonic Crystals

T.V. Dudnikova [1]
M.V.Keldysh Institute
of Applied Mathematics RAS
Moscow 125047, Russia
e-mail: dudnik@elsite.ru, dudnik@mat.univie.ac.at

A.I. Komech [2]
Institüt für Mathematik
Wien A-1090, Austria
e-mail: komech@mat.univie.ac.at

N.J. Mauser
Institüt für Mathematik
Wien A-1090, Austria
e-mail: mauser@courant.nyu.edu

Abstract

We consider the dynamics of a harmonic crystal in d dimensions with n components, $d, n \geq 1$. The initial date is a random function with finite mean density of the energy which also satisfies a Rosenblatt- or Ibragimov-Linnik-type mixing condition. The random function converges to different space-homogeneous processes as $x_d \to \pm\infty$, with the distributions $\mu_\pm$. We study the distribution μ_t of the solution at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The main result is the convergence of μ_t to a Gaussian translation-invariant measure as $t \to \infty$. The proof is based on the long time asymptotics of the Green function and on Bernstein's 'room-corridor' argument. The application to the case of the Gibbs measures $\mu_\pm = g_\pm$ with two different temperatures $T_\pm$ is given. Limiting mean energy current density is $-(0, \ldots, 0, C(T_+ - T_-))$ with some positive constant $C > 0$ what corresponds to Second Law.

Key words and phrases: harmonic crystal, random initial data, mixing condition, convergence, Gaussian measures, covariance matrices, characteristic functional

---

[1] Supported partly by research grants of DFG (436 RUS 113/615/0-1), of RFBR (99-01-04012) and the START project "Nonlinear Schrödinger and Quantum Boltzmann Equations" (FWF Y 137-TEC) of N.J. Mauser

[2] On leave Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, Russia. Supported partly by Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences (Leipzig).

1 Introduction

The paper concerns the problems related to the derivation of Fourier's Law for harmonic crystals [2]. We have started in [7]-[11] the analysis of the long time convergence to the equilibrium distribution for partial differential equations of hyperbolic type in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and for a harmonic crystal. Here we continue the analysis and prove Second Law for the crystal: the energy current is directed from high temperature to low temperature. Similar results have been established in [1, 21] for $d = 1$, and we extend the results to all $d \geq 1$. The case $d > 1$ appears very different from $d = 1$ because of much more complicated properties of oscillatory integrals. This is why we combine here the methods from [1] with new ideas. Namely, we develop our "cutoff" strategy from [11] which more carefully exploits the mixing condition in Fourier space. This approach allows us to cover all $d \geq 1$.

We assume that the initial state $Y_0(x)$ of the crystal is a random element of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ of real sequences, see Definition 2.1 below. The distribution of $Y_0(x)$ is a probability measure μ_0 of mean zero satisfying conditions **S1**-**S3** below. In particular, the distribution of $Y_0(x)$ converges to distinct translation-invariant measures $\mu_\pm$ as $x_d \to \pm\infty$. Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by μ_t the probability measure that gives the distribution of the solution $Y(x,t)$ to dynamical equations with the random initial state Y_0. We study the asymptotics of μ_t as $t \to \pm\infty$.

Our main result gives the (weak) convergence of the measures μ_t on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ with $\alpha < -d/2$ to a limit measure μ_∞

$$\mu_t \rightharpoondown \mu_\infty, \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

which is a translation-invariant Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. A similar convergence result holds for $t \to -\infty$ since our system is time-reversible. We construct generic examples of harmonic crystals and random initial data satisfying all assumptions imposed. The explicit formulas for the covariance of the measure μ_∞ are given in (2.14)-(2.23). We derive the expression for the limit mean energy current j_∞. The ergodicity and mixing of the limit measures μ_∞ follow by the same arguments as in [11].

We apply (1.1) to the case of the Gibbs measures $\mu_\pm = g_\pm$ with two distinct temperatures $T_\pm \geq 0$ (we adjust the definition of the Gibbs measures $g_\pm$ in Section 3). The measures $g_\pm$ satisfy all our assumptions, and the weak convergence $g_t \rightharpoondown g_\infty$ follows from our results. We apply formula for the limit energy current $j_\infty \equiv (j^1_\infty, \ldots, j^d_\infty)$ to the case of Gibbs measures $g_\pm$ and deduce that

$$j_\infty = -(0, \ldots, 0, C(T_+ - T_-)), \quad C > 0.$$

This corresponds to Second Law.

For $d = 1$ similar problem have been analyzed also in [16, 19]. The authors considered the finite simple lattice of size L with the viscosity, in contact with two heat baths at temperatures $T_\pm$. The convergence of the covariance is proved in the limit $t \to \infty$, and then $L \to \infty$. The result is close to ours: the limit energy current is non zero and $\sim \Delta T$ which corresponds to the superconductivity [2]. However, the space decay of the limit position-momentum covariance in [16] is exponential which differs from the power decay in our problem and in [1] (see Remark 3.2 iii)).

For case $d \geq 1$ the convergence (1.1) has been obtained for the first time in [15] for initial measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to the canonical Gaussian measure. We cover more general class of initial measures with the mixing condition and do not assume the absolute continuity. For the first time the mixing condition has been introduced by R.Dobrushin and Yu.Suhov for the ideal gas [4]. The condition substitutes (quasi-) ergodic hypothesis in the proof of the convergence to the equilibrium distribution, and plays the key role in our Bernstein-type approach. Developing this approach, we have proved the convergence for the wave and Klein-Gordon equations with translation-invariant initial measures [7, 8, 14]. In [9] we have extended the results to the wave equation with the two-temperature initial measures. The present paper develops our previous results [10, 11], where the harmonic crystal has been considered for all d in the case of translation invariant initial measure. Here we extend the results to the two-temperature initial measures.

We outline our main result and strategy of proof. Consider a discrete subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We may assume $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}^d$ after a suitable change of coordinates. A *lattice* in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is the set of the points of the form $\overline{r}_\lambda(x)=x+\xi_\lambda$, where $x\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, $\xi_\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda=1,\ldots,\Lambda$. The points of the lattice represent the equilibrium positions of the atoms (molecules, ions,...) of the crystal. Denote by $r_\lambda(x,t)$ the positions of the atoms in the dynamics. Then the dynamics of the displacements $r_\lambda(x,t)-\overline{r}_\lambda(x)$ is governed by the equations of type

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \ddot u(x,t)=-\sum\limits_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} V(x-y)u(y,t),\quad x\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\\ u|_{t=0}=u_0(x),\quad \dot u|_{t=0}=v_0(x).\end{array}\right. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $u(x,t)=(u_1(x,t),\ldots,u_n(x,t)), u_0=(u_{01},\ldots,u_{0n}), v_0=(v_{01},\ldots,v_{0n})\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $n=\Lambda d$; $V(x)$ is the real interaction (or force) matrix, $\Big(V_{kl}(x)\Big)$, $k,l=1,...,n$. Similar equations were considered in [1, 10, 11, 15, 21]. Below we consider the system of type (1.2) with an arbitrary $n=1,2,\ldots$.

Denote $Y(t)=(Y^0(t),Y^1(t))\equiv(u(\cdot,t),\dot u(\cdot,t))$, $Y_0=(Y_0^0,Y_0^1)\equiv(u_0(\cdot),v_0(\cdot))$. Then (1.2) takes the form of an evolution equation

$$\dot Y(t)=\mathcal{A}Y(t),\ \ t\in\mathbb{R};\quad Y(0)=Y_0. \tag{1.3}$$

Formally, this is the Hamiltonian system since

$$\mathcal{A}Y=J\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{V} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)Y=J\nabla H(Y),\qquad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\mathcal{V}$ is a convolution operator with the matrix kernel V and H is the Hamiltonian functional

$$H(Y):=\frac{1}{2}\langle v,v\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\langle\mathcal{V}u,u\rangle,\quad Y=(u,v), \tag{1.5}$$

where the kinetic energy is given by $\frac{1}{2}\langle v,v\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|v(x)|^2$ and the potential energy by $\frac{1}{2}\langle\mathcal{V}u,u\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\Big(V(x-y)u(y),u(x)\Big)$, $\Big(\cdot,\cdot\Big)$ stands for the real scalar product in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We assume that the initial correlation functions

$$Q_0^{ij}(x,y) := E\Big(Y_0^i(x)\otimes Y_0^j(y)\Big),\ \ x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{1.6}$$

have the form

$$Q_0^{ij}(x,y) = q_0^{ij}(\overline{x}-\overline{y},x_d,y_d),\ \ i,j=0,1. \tag{1.7}$$

Here $x=(x_1,\dots,x_d)\equiv(\overline{x},x_d)$, $y=(y_1,\dots,y_d)\equiv(\overline{y},y_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d$. Moreover, we assume that

$$\lim_{y_d\to\pm\infty} q_0^{ij}(\overline{z},y_d+z_d,y_d) = q_\pm^{ij}(z),\ \ z=(\overline{z},z_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1.8}$$

Here $q_\pm^{ij}(z)$ are the correlation functions of some translation-invariant measures $\mu_\pm$ with zero mean value in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. The measure μ_0 is not translation-invariant if $q_-^{ij}\neq q_+^{ij}$.

Next, we assume that the initial mean "energy" density is uniformly bounded:

$$e_0(x) := E[|u_0(x)|^2+|v_0(x)|^2] = \operatorname{tr} Q_0^{00}(x,x)+\operatorname{tr} Q_0^{11}(x,x)\le e_0<\infty,\quad x\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1.9}$$

Finally, it is assumed that the measure μ_0 satisfies a mixing condition of a Rosenblatt- or Ibragimov-Linnik type, which means that

$$Y_0(x)\ \ \textit{and}\ \ Y_0(y)\ \ \textit{are asymptotically independent as}\ \ |x-y|\to\infty. \tag{1.10}$$

To prove the convergence (1.1) we follow the strategy of [7]–[11]. There are three steps:
I. The family of measures μ_t, $t\ge 0$, is weakly compact in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha<-d/2$.
II. The correlation functions converge to a limit,

$$Q_t^{ij}(x,y)\equiv\int\Big(Y^i(x)\otimes Y^j(y)\Big)\,\mu_t(dY)\to Q_\infty^{ij}(x,y),\ \ t\to\infty. \tag{1.11}$$

III. The characteristic functionals converge to a Gaussian one,

$$\hat\mu_t(\Psi) := \int e^{i\langle Y,\Psi\rangle}\mu_t(dY)\to\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)\},\ \ t\to\infty. \tag{1.12}$$

Here $\Psi=(\Psi^0,\Psi^1)\in\mathcal{D}:=D\oplus D$, $D:=C_0(\mathbb{Z}^d)\otimes\mathbb{R}^n$, where $C_0(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ denotes a space of real sequences with finite support, $\langle Y,\Psi\rangle=\sum\limits_{i=0,1}\sum\limits_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\Big(Y^i(x),\Psi^i(x)\Big)$, and $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is the quadratic form with the matrix kernel $(Q_\infty^{ij}(x,y))_{i,j=0,1}$,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)=\sum_{i,j=0,1}\ \sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\Big(Q_\infty^{ij}(x,y),\Psi^i(x)\otimes\Psi^j(y)\Big). \tag{1.13}$$

Below the brackets $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denote also the Hermitian scalar product in the Hilbert spaces $L^2(T^d)\otimes\mathbb{R}^n$ or its different extensions.

For the proof of **I** – **III** we develop our *cutting strategy* from [11] combined with some techniques from [1]. Each method [11] and [1] separately is not sufficient since here the measures μ_t are not translation-invariant and we consider all $d\ge 1$. To prove **II** we split $Q_t^{ij}(x,y)$ into even, odd components and the remainder as in [1]. The even component corresponds to the translation-invariant initial measure and is analyzed by the method of [11] for all $d\ge 1$. On the other hand, the odd component is missing in [11] and it requires a novel idea since its Fourier transform contains the Cauchy Principal Value which is more singular than measures corresponding to the even component. The singularity was studied in [1] for the case $d=1$. However, similar detailed analysis for $d\ge 1$ seems to be impossible due to the bifurcations of the critical points.

Let us outline our method. We rewrite (1.11) in the equivalent form

$$\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)\to\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi),\ \ t\to\infty, \tag{1.14}$$

for $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$: by definition of $\mathcal{D}^0$, the Fourier transform $\hat\Psi(\theta)$ vanishes in a neighborhood of a "critical set" $\mathcal{C}\subset T^d$. The set $\mathcal{C}$ includes all points $\theta\in T^d$ with a degenerate Hessian of $\omega_k(\theta)$, where $\omega_k^2(\theta)$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\hat V(\theta)=\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{iz\theta}V(z)$. Also the set $\mathcal{C}$ includes the points $\theta\in T^d$ either with $\omega_k(\theta)=0$, or $\nabla_{\theta_d}\omega_k(\theta)=0$ or with non-smooth $\omega_k(\theta)$. The cutting of the critical set $\mathcal{C}$ is possible by two key observations: i) $\operatorname{mes}\mathcal{C}=0$ and ii) the correlation quadratic form is continuous in l^2 due to the mixing condition. The continuity follows from the space decay of correlation functions by well-known Shur's lemma. The systematic application of the Shur lemma allows us to extend (1.14) from $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ to all $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}$ by condition **E6**.

Similarly, we first prove the property **III** for $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ and then extend it to all $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}$. For $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ we use a variant of the S.N. Bernstein 'room-corridor' technique (cf. [1] for $d=1$). We develop our variant of the S.N. Bernstein technique which we have introduced in [7]–[9], [14] in the context of the Klein-Gordon and wave equations and in [11] for the harmonic crystal with $d\ge 1$ in the case of translation-invariant initial measures. For $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ we have $\hat\mu_t(\Psi)=E\exp\left(i\langle Y(t),\Psi\rangle\right)$. We rewrite, $\langle Y(t),\Psi\rangle=\langle Y(0),\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle$, where $\Phi(x,t)$ and can be represented as an oscillatory integral. For $\Phi(x,t)$ we get the uniform bounds (8.6), (8.7). These bounds follow by the stationary phase method because $\Phi(x,0)=\Psi(x)\in\mathcal{D}^0$, and hence, $\hat\Psi(\theta)$ vanishes in all points $\theta\in\mathcal{C}$ with degenerate Hessian of the phase function. The bounds roughly speaking imply the following representation:

$$\langle Y(\cdot,t),\Psi\rangle\sim\frac{\sum\limits_{y\in B_t}Y_0(y)}{\sqrt{|B_t|}}\ ,\quad t\to\infty, \tag{1.15}$$

where B_t stands for the ball $\{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d:|y|\le ct\}$ and $|B_t|$ is its volume. Now (1.12) follows from (1.15) by the Lindeberg Central Limit Theorem since $Y_0(y_1)$, $Y_0(y_2)$ are almost independent for large $|y_1-y_2|$ by mixing condition (1.10).

Let us comment on our conditions concerning the interaction matrix $V(x)$. We assume the conditions **E1-E4** below which in a similar form appear also in [1, 15]. **E1** means the exponential space-decay of the interaction in the crystal. **E2** resp. **E3** means that the potential energy is real resp. nonnegative. **E4** eliminates the discrete part of the spectrum and ensures that $\operatorname{mes}\mathcal{C}=0$. We also introduce a new simple condition **E5** for the case $n>1$ which eliminates the *discrete* part of the spectrum for the covariance dynamics. It can be considerably weakened to the condition **E5'** from Remark 2.9 *iii)*. For example, the condition **E5'** holds for the canonical Gaussian measures which are considered in [15]. The conditions **E4**, **E5** hold for almost all functions $V(x)$ satisfying **E1-E3** as shown in [11]. Furthermore, we do not require that $\omega_k(\theta)\ne 0$, as in [1]: note that $\omega(0)=0$ for the elastic lattice (2.26) in the case $m=0$. Our results hold whenever $\operatorname{mes}\{\theta\in T^d:\omega_k(\theta)=0\}=0$.

To cover this case we impose the condition **E6** which is similar to the condition iii) from [15, p.171]. **E6** holds for the elastic lattice (2.26) if either $n \geq 3$ or $m > 0$.

The main result of the paper is stated in Section 2 (see Theorem A). Section 3 concerns the application to Gibbs measures. In Section 4 we give bounds for the initial covariance. The compactness (Property **I**) is established in Section 5, convergence (1.11) in Sections 6-7, and convergence (1.12) in Section 8. In Section 9 we check the Lindeberg condition for convergence to a Gaussian limit. Appendix is concerned with a dynamics and covariance in Fourier space.

2 Main results

Let us describe our results more precisely.

2.1 Dynamics

We assume that the initial date Y_0 belongs to the phase space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1$, defined below.

Definition 2.1 *$\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is the Hilbert space of pairs $Y \equiv (u(x), v(x))$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued functions of $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ endowed with the norm*

$$\|Y\|_\alpha^2 \equiv \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \Big(|u(x)|^2 + |v(x)|^2\Big)(1+|x|^2)^\alpha < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

We impose the following conditions **E1-E6** on the matrix V.

E1 There exist constants $C, \alpha > 0$ such that $|V_{kl}(z)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|z|}$, $k, l \in \overline{n} := \{1, ..., n\}$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let us denote by $\hat{V}(\theta) := \Big(\hat{V}_{kl}(\theta)\Big)_{k,l\in\overline{n}}$, where $\hat{V}_{kl}(\theta) \equiv \sum\limits_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d} V_{kl}(z)e^{iz\theta}$, $\theta \in T^d$, and T^d denotes the d-torus $\mathbb{R}^d/2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$.

E2 V is real and symmetric, i.e. $V_{lk}(-z) = V_{kl}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$, $k, l \in \overline{n}$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

The condition implies that $\hat{V}(\theta)$ is a real-analytic Hermitian matrix-function in $\theta \in T^d$.

E3 The matrix $\hat{V}(\theta)$ is non-negative definite for each $\theta \in T^d$.

The condition means that the Eqn (1.2) is hyperbolic like wave and Klein-Gordon Eqns considered in [7, 8]. Let us define the Hermitian non-negative definite matrix

$$\Omega(\theta) := (\hat{V}(\theta))^{1/2} \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

with the eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta) \geq 0$, $k \in \overline{n}$, which are called dispersion relations. For each $\theta \in T^d$ the Hermitian matrix $\Omega(\theta)$ has the diagonal form in the basis of the orthogonal eigenvectors $\{e_k(\theta) : k \in \overline{n}\}$:

$$\Omega(\theta) = B(\theta)\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1(\theta) & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \omega_n(\theta) \end{pmatrix} B^*(\theta), \tag{2.3}$$

where $B(\theta)$ is a unitary matrix and $B^*(\theta)$ denotes its adjoint. It is well known that the functions $\omega_k(\theta)$ and $B(\theta)$ are real-analytic outside the set of the 'crossing' points θ_* : $\omega_k(\theta_*) = \omega_l(\theta_*)$ for some $l \neq k$. However, generally the functions are not smooth at the crossing points if $\omega_k(\theta) \not\equiv \omega_l(\theta)$. Therefore, we need the following lemma which is proved in [11, Appendix].

Lemma 2.2 *Let the conditions* **E1**, **E2** *hold. Then there exists a closed subset* $\mathcal{C}_* \subset T^d$ *such that i) the Lebesgue measure of* $\mathcal{C}_*$ *is zero:*

$$\operatorname{mes} \mathcal{C}_* = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

ii) For any point $\Theta \in T^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$ *there exists a neighborhood* $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$ *such that each dispersion relation* $\omega_k(\theta)$ *and the matrix* $B(\theta)$ *can be chosen as the real-analytic functions in* $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$.
iii) It is possible to enumerate the eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ *so that we have in the whole open set* $T^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$:

$$\omega_1(\theta)\equiv\ldots\equiv\omega_{r_1}(\theta),\ \ \omega_{r_1+1}(\theta)\equiv\ldots\equiv\omega_{r_2}(\theta),\ \ \ldots\ \ ,\omega_{r_s+1}(\theta)\equiv\ldots\equiv\omega_n(\theta), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\omega_{r_\sigma}(\theta)\not\equiv\omega_{r_\nu}(\theta)\ \ \text{if}\ \ \sigma\neq\nu,\ \ 1\le r_\sigma, r_\nu \le r_{s+1} := n. \tag{2.6}$$

iv) Let us define $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ *for* $\theta \in T^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$ *as the orthogonal projection* $\Pi_\sigma(\theta) : \mathbb{R}^n \to E_\sigma(\theta)$ *onto the eigenspace* $E_\sigma(\theta) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *generated by the eigenvectors* $e_k(\theta)$, $k \in (r_{\sigma-1}, r_\sigma]$. *Then* $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$, $\sigma = 1, ..., s+1$, *is a real-analytic function of* $\theta \in T^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$.

Below we suggest that $\omega_k(\theta)$ denote the local real-analytic functions from Lemma 2.2 *ii)*. Our next condition is the following:

E4 $D_k(\theta) \not\equiv 0$, $\forall k \in \overline{n}$, where $D_k(\theta) := \det\Big(\dfrac{\partial^2 \omega_k(\theta)}{\partial\theta_i \partial\theta_j}\Big)_{i,j=1}^{n}$, $\theta \in T^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$.

Let us denote $\mathcal{C}_0 := \{\theta \in T^d : \det \hat{V}(\theta) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_k := \{\theta \in T^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_* : D_k(\theta) = 0\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. The following lemma has also been proved in [11, Appendix].

Lemma 2.3 *Let the conditions* **E1**–**E4** *hold. Then* $\operatorname{mes} \mathcal{C}_k = 0$, $k = 0, 1, ..., n$.

Our last conditions on V are the following:

E5 For each $k \neq l$ the identity $\omega_k(\theta) - \omega_l(\theta) \equiv \text{const}_-$, $\theta \in T^d$ does not hold with $\text{const}_- \neq 0$, and the identity $\omega_k(\theta) + \omega_l(\theta) \equiv \text{const}_+$ does not hold with $\text{const}_+ \neq 0$.

E6 $\|\hat{V}^{-1}(\theta)\| \in L^1(T^d)$ in the case when $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq \emptyset$.
This condition holds if $\mathcal{C}_0 = \emptyset$.

The following Proposition 2.4 is proved in [15, p.150], [1, p.128] (see also Appendix).

Proposition 2.4 *Let* **E1** *and* **E2** *hold, and* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then*
i) for any $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ *there exists a unique solution* $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ *to the Cauchy problem (1.3).*
ii) The operator $U(t) : Y_0 \mapsto Y(t)$ *is continuous in* $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

2.2 Convergence to statistical equilibrium

Let (Ω,Σ,P) be a probability space with expectation E and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ denote the Borel σ-algebra in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. We assume that $Y_0=Y_0(\omega,\cdot)$ in (1.3) is a measurable random function with values in $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha,\,\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha))$. In other words, for each $x\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ the map $\omega\mapsto Y_0(\omega,x)$ is a measurable map $\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with respect to the (completed) σ-algebras Σ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then $Y(t)=U(t)Y_0$ is again a measurable random function with values in $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha))$ owing to Proposition 2.4. We denote by $\mu_0(dY_0)$ a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ giving the distribution of the Y_0. Without loss of generality, we assume $(\Omega,\Sigma,P)=(\mathcal{H}_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha),\mu_0)$ and $Y_0(\omega,x)=\omega(x)$ for $\mu_0(d\omega)$-almost all $\omega\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ and each $x\in\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Definition 2.5 *μ_t is a Borel probability measure in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ which gives the distribution of $Y(t)$:*

$$\mu_t(B)=\mu_0(U(-t)B),\quad \forall B\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha),\ \ t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

Our main goal is to derive the convergence of the measures μ_t as $t\to\infty$. We establish the weak convergence of μ_t in the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ with $\alpha<-d/2$:

$$\mu_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} \mu_\infty \quad\text{as}\quad t\to\infty, \tag{2.8}$$

where μ_∞ is a limit measure on the space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha<-d/2$. This means the convergence

$$\int f(Y)\mu_t(dY)\to\int f(Y)\mu_\infty(dY),\quad t\to\infty, \tag{2.9}$$

for any bounded continuous functional f on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

Definition 2.6 *The correlation functions of the measure μ_t are defined by*

$$Q_t^{ij}(x,y)=E\Big(Y^i(x,t)\otimes Y^j(y,t)\Big),\ \ i,j=0,1,\quad x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{2.10}$$

if the expectations in the RHS are finite. Here $Y^i(x,t)$ are the components of the random solution $Y(t)=(Y^0(\cdot,t),Y^1(\cdot,t))$.

For a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ we denote by $\hat\mu$ the characteristic functional (Fourier transform)

$$\hat\mu(\Psi)\equiv\int\exp(i\langle Y,\Psi\rangle)\,\mu(dY),\ \ \Psi\in\mathcal{D}.$$

A measure μ is called Gaussian (of zero mean) if its characteristic functional has the form

$$\hat\mu(\Psi)=\exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(\Psi,\Psi)\},\ \ \Psi\in\mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a real nonnegative quadratic form in $\mathcal{D}$. A measure μ is called translation-invariant if $\mu(T_hB)=\mu(B)$, $B\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$, $h\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, where $T_hY(x)=Y(x-h)$, $x\in\mathbb{Z}^d$.

2.3 Mixing condition

Let $O(r)$ denote the set of all pairs of subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ at distance dist $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \geq r$ and let $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ be a σ-algebra in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ generated by $Y(x)$ with $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Define the Ibragimov-Linnik mixing coefficient of a probability measure μ_0 on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ by (cf [12, Definition 17.2.2])

$$\varphi(r) \equiv \sup_{(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \in O(r)} \sup_{\substack{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}), B \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \\ \mu_0(B) > 0}} \frac{|\mu_0(A \cap B) - \mu_0(A)\mu_0(B)|}{\mu_0(B)}. \tag{2.11}$$

Definition 2.7 *The measure μ_0 satisfies strong, uniform Ibragimov-Linnik mixing condition if*

$$\varphi(r) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty. \tag{2.12}$$

Below, we specify the rate of decay of φ (see condition **S3**).

2.4 Statistical conditions and results

We assume that the initial measure μ_0 satisfies the following conditions **S0-S3**:

S0 μ_0 has zero expectation value, $EY_0(x) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.
S1 μ_0 has correlation functions of the form (1.7) with condition (1.8).
S2 μ_0 has a finite mean energy density, i.e. Eqn (1.9) holds.
S3 μ_0 satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov-Linnik mixing condition with

$$\overline{\varphi} \equiv \int_0^{+\infty} r^{d-1} \varphi^{1/2}(r)\,dr < \infty. \tag{2.13}$$

Introduce the correlation matrix of the limit measure μ_∞. It is translation-invariant

$$Q_\infty(x,y) = \left(Q_\infty^{ij}(x,y)\right)_{i,j=0,1} = \left(q_\infty^{ij}(x-y)\right)_{i,j=0,1}. \tag{2.14}$$

In the Fourier transform we have locally outside the critical set $\mathcal{C}_*$ (see Lemma 2.2)

$$\hat{q}_\infty^{ij}(\theta) = B(\theta) M_\infty^{ij}(\theta) B^*(\theta), \quad i,j = 0,1, \tag{2.15}$$

where $B(\theta)$ is the smooth unitary matrix from Lemma 2.2, *ii)* and $M_\infty^{ij}(\theta)$ is $n \times n$-matrix with the smooth entries

$$M_\infty^{ij}(\theta)_{kl} = \chi_{kl} \left[\left(B^*(\theta)(M_0^+)^{ij}(\theta)B(\theta)\right)_{kl} + i \,\mathrm{sgn}\left(\frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \theta_d}(\theta)\right) \left(B^*(\theta)(M_0^-)^{ij}(\theta)B(\theta)\right)_{kl} \right]. \tag{2.16}$$

Here we set (see (2.5))

$$\chi_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \; k,l \in (r_{\sigma-1}, r_\sigma], \;\; \sigma = 1, ..., s+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

with $r_0 := 0$, $r_{s+1} := n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} M_0^+(\theta) &:= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta) + \hat{C}(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\hat{C}^*(\theta)\Big), & (2.18)\\ M_0^-(\theta) &:= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\hat{C}(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta) - \hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta)\hat{C}^*(\theta)\Big), & (2.19)\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{q}^+ := \frac{1}{2}(q_+ + q_-)$, $\mathbf{q}^- := \frac{1}{2}(q_+ - q_-)$ and

$$\hat{C}(\theta) := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \Omega^{-1}(\theta) \\ -\Omega(\theta) & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad \hat{C}^*(\theta) := \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\Omega(\theta) \\ \Omega^{-1}(\theta) & 0 \end{array}\right), \tag{2.20}$$

where $\hat{C}^*$ denotes a Hermitian conjugate matrix to the matrix $\hat{C}$. The local representation (2.15) can be expressed globally as the sum:

$$\hat{q}_\infty(\theta) = \hat{q}_\infty^+(\theta) + \hat{q}_\infty^-(\theta), \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{q}_\infty^+)^{ij}(\theta) &:= \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s+1} \Pi_\sigma(\theta)(M_0^+)^{ij}(\theta)\Pi_\sigma(\theta), & (2.22)\\ (\hat{q}_\infty^-)^{ij}(\theta) &:= \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s+1} \Pi_\sigma(\theta)\, i\, \mathrm{sgn}\Big(\frac{\partial\omega_{r_\sigma}}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big)(M_0^-)^{ij}(\theta)\Pi_\sigma(\theta), \quad \theta\in T^d\setminus\mathcal{C}_*, \quad i,j=0,1. & (2.23)\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ is the spectral projection introduced in Lemma 2.2 *iv)*.

Remark 2.8 From Proposition 4.2, ii) and condition **E6** (if $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq 0$) it follows that $\Big((M_0^\pm)^{ij}\Big)_{kl} \in L^1(T^d)$, $k,l \in \overline{n}$. Therefore, (2.22), (2.23) and (2.4) imply that also $\Big((\hat{q}_\infty^\pm)^{ij}\Big)_{kl} \in L^1(T^d)$, $k,l \in \overline{n}$.

Theorem A *Let $d,n \geq 1$, $\alpha < -d/2$ and assume that the conditions* **E1–E5** *and* **S0–S3** *hold. If $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq 0$, then we assume also that* **E6** *holds. Then*
i) the convergence (2.8) holds and (1.11) also holds.
ii) The limit measure μ_∞ is a Gaussian translation-invariant measure on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$.
iii) The characteristic functional of μ_∞ is the Gaussian

$$\hat{\mu}_\infty(\Psi) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\,\Psi)\}, \;\; \Psi\in\mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is the quadratic form defined in (1.13).
iv) The measure μ_∞ is invariant, i.e. $[U(t)]^*\mu_\infty = \mu_\infty$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

Remarks 2.9 *i)* In the case $d=n=1$ we have $B(\theta)\equiv 1$, and formulas (2.14)-(2.19) have been obtained in [1, p.139].

ii) The *uniform* Rosenblatt mixing condition [20] also suffices, together with a higher power > 2 in the bound (1.9): there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$E\Big(|u_0(x)|^{2+\delta} + |v_0(x)|^{2+\delta}\Big) \leq C < \infty.$$

Then (2.13) requires a modification: $\int_0^{+\infty} r^{d-1}\alpha^p(r)dr<\infty$, where $p=\min(\delta/(2+\delta),1/2)$, where $\alpha(r)$ is the Rosenblatt mixing coefficient defined as in (2.11) but without $\mu_0(B)$ in the denominator. Under these modifications, the statements of Theorem A and their proofs remain essentially unchanged.

iii) The arguments with condition **E5** in Lemmas 7.1, 7.2 demonstrate that the condition could be considerably weakened. Namely, it suffices to assume

E5' If for some $k\ne l$ we have either $\omega_k(\theta)+\omega_l(\theta)\equiv \mathrm{const}_+$ or $\omega_k(\theta)-\omega_l(\theta)\equiv \mathrm{const}_-$ with $\mathrm{const}_\pm\ne 0$, then either $p^{11}_{kl}(\theta)-\omega_k(\theta)\omega_l(\theta)p^{00}_{kl}(\theta)\equiv 0$, $\omega_k(\theta)p^{01}_{kl}(\theta)+\omega_l(\theta)p^{10}_{kl}(\theta)\equiv 0$ or $p^{11}_{kl}(\theta)+\omega_k(\theta)\omega_l(\theta)p^{00}_{kl}(\theta)\equiv 0$, $\omega_k(\theta)p^{01}_{kl}(\theta)-\omega_l(\theta)p^{10}_{kl}(\theta)\equiv 0$. Here

$$p^{ij}_{kl}(\theta):=\Big(B^*(\theta)\hat q^{ij}_\pm(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl},\quad \theta\in T^d,\quad k,l\in\overline{n},\quad i,j=0,1, \tag{2.24}$$

$\hat q^{ij}_\pm(\theta)$ are Fourier transforms of covariance matrices $q^{ij}_\pm(z)$.

The assertions *i)-iii)* of Theorem A follow from Propositions 2.10 and 2.11 below.

Proposition 2.10 *The family of the measures* $\{\mu_t,\,t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ *is weakly compact in* $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ *with any* $\alpha<-d/2$, *and the bounds* $\sup\limits_{t\ge 0}E\|U(t)Y_0\|^2_\alpha<\infty$ *hold.*

Proposition 2.11 *For every* $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}$ *the convergence (1.12) holds.*

Proposition 2.10 (Proposition 2.11) provides the existence (resp. the uniqueness) of the limit measure μ_∞. They are proved in Sections 5 and 7-8, respectively.

Theorem A *iv)* follows from (2.8) since the group $U(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ by Proposition 2.4 *ii)*.

2.5 Examples

Let us give the examples of Eqn (1.2) and measures μ_0 which satisfy all our conditions **E1-E6** and **S0-S3**, respectively.

2.5.1 Harmonic crystals

All conditions **E1-E5** hold for 1D crystal with $n=1$ considered in [1]. For any $d\ge 1$ and $n=1$ we consider the simple elastic lattice corresponding to the quadratic form

$$\langle \mathcal{V}u,u\rangle=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^d|u(x+e_k)-u(x)|^2+m^2|u(x)|^2\Big),\ \ m>0, \tag{2.25}$$

where $e_k=(\delta_{k1},\dots,\delta_{kd})$. Then **E1** holds and $F_{x\to\theta}V=\omega^2(\theta)$ with

$$\omega(\theta)=\sqrt{2(1-\cos\theta_1)+...+2(1-\cos\theta_d)+m^2}. \tag{2.26}$$

Hence, $V(x)$ satisfies **E2-E4** with $\mathcal{C}_*=\emptyset$. In these examples the set $\mathcal{C}_0$ is empty, hence the condition **E6** is unnecessary. Condition **E5** holds trivially since $n=1$. Therefore, Theorem A holds for (2.25) if the initial measure μ_0 satisfies conditions **S0-S3**. In these examples $B(\theta)\equiv 1$, and then, $\hat{q}_\infty = M_\infty = M_0^+ + i\,\mathrm{sgn}\left(\partial_{\theta_d}\omega\right)M_0^-$, where $M_0^\pm$ is defined by (2.18)-(2.20) with $\Omega(\theta)=\omega(\theta)$. Then we obtain the following explicit formulas for q_∞. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(z)=F^{-1}_{\theta\to z}(\omega^{-2}(\theta))$ the fundamental solution for the operator $-\Delta+m^2$ on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, i.e. $(-\Delta+m^2)\mathcal{E}(x)=\delta_{0x}$ for $x\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, and $P(x)=-iF^{-1}_{\theta\to x}\dfrac{\mathrm{sgn}(\sin\theta_d)}{\omega(\theta)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
q_\infty^{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[(\mathbf{q}^+)^{00}+\mathcal{E}*(\mathbf{q}^+)^{11}+P*\Big((\mathbf{q}^-)^{01}-(\mathbf{q}^-)^{10}\Big)\Big],\\
q_\infty^{10} = -\,q_\infty^{01} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[(\mathbf{q}^+)^{10}-(\mathbf{q}^+)^{01}\qquad+P*\Big((\mathbf{q}^-)^{11}+(-\Delta+m^2)(\mathbf{q}^-)^{00}\Big)\Big],\\
q_\infty^{11}=(-\Delta+m^2)q_\infty^{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[(\mathbf{q}^+)^{11}+(-\Delta+m^2)\Big((\mathbf{q}^+)^{00}+P*\Big((\mathbf{q}^-)^{01}-(\mathbf{q}^-)^{10}\Big)\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

where $*$ stands for the convolution of functions.

2.5.2 Gaussian measures

We consider $n=1$ and construct Gaussian initial measures μ_0 satisfying **S0**–**S3**. We will define $\mu_\pm$ in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ by the correlation functions $q_\pm^{ij}(x-y)$ which are zero for $i\neq j$, while for $i=0,1$,

$$\hat{q}_\pm^{ii}(\theta):=F_{z\to\theta}[q_\pm^{ii}(z)]\in L^1(T^d),\quad \hat{q}_\pm^{ii}(\theta)\geq 0. \tag{2.27}$$

Then by the Minlos theorem, [3] there exist Borel Gaussian measures $\mu_\pm$ on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha<-d/2$, with the correlation functions $q_\pm^{ij}(x-y)$, because *formally* we have

$$\int\|Y\|_\alpha^2\mu_\pm(dY)=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(1+|x|^2)^\alpha(\mathrm{tr}\,q_\pm^{00}(0)+\mathrm{tr}\,q_\pm^{11}(0))=C(\alpha,d)\int\limits_{T^d}\mathrm{tr}(\hat{q}_\pm^{00}(\theta)+\hat{q}_\pm^{11}(\theta))\,d\theta<\infty.$$

The measures $\mu_\pm$ satisfy **S0**, **S2**. Let us take the functions $\zeta_\pm\in C(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\zeta_\pm(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1, & \text{for}\quad \pm\, s>a,\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad \pm\, s<-a.\end{array}\right. \tag{2.28}$$

Let us introduce (Y_-,Y_+) as a unit random function in probability space $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha\times\mathcal{H}_\alpha,\mu_-\times\mu_+)$. Then $Y_\pm$ are Gaussian independent vectors in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Define a "two-temperature" Borel probability measure μ_0 as a distribution of the random function

$$Y_0(x)=\zeta_-(x_d)Y_-(x)+\zeta_+(x_d)Y_+(x). \tag{2.29}$$

Then correlation functions of μ_0 are

$$Q_0^{ij}(x,y)=q_-^{ij}(x-y)\zeta_-(x_d)\zeta_-(y_d)+q_+^{ij}(x-y)\zeta_+(x_d)\zeta_+(y_d),\quad i,j=0,1, \tag{2.30}$$

where $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$, $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, and $q_\pm^{ij}$ are the correlation functions of the measures $\mu_\pm$. The measure μ_0 satisfies **S0-S2**. Further, let us assume, in addition to (2.27), that

$$q_\pm^{ii}(z)=0,\quad |z|\geq r_0. \tag{2.31}$$

Then the mixing condition **S3** follows with $\varphi(r)=0$, $r\geq r_0$. For instance, (2.27) and (2.31) hold if we set $q_\pm^{ii}(z)=f(z_1)f(z_2)\cdot\ldots\cdot f(z_d)$, where $f(z)=N_0-|z|$ for $|z|\leq N_0$ and $f(z)=0$ for $|z|>N_0$ with $N_0:=[r_0/\sqrt{d}]$ (the integer part). Then by the direct calculation we obtain $\hat{f}(\theta)=(1-\cos N_0\theta)/(1-\cos\theta),\ \theta\in T^1,$ and (2.27) holds.

2.5.3 Non-Gaussian measures

Let us choose some odd bounded nonconstant functions f^0, $f^1 \in C(\mathbb{R})$. Define μ_0^* as the distribution of the random function $(f^0(Y^0(x)), f^1(Y^1(x)))$, where (Y^0, Y^1) is a random function with a Gaussian distribution μ_0 from the previous example. Then **S0-S3** hold for μ_0^* with corresponding mixing coefficient $\varphi^*(r) = 0$ for $r \geq r_0$. Measure μ_0^* is not Gaussian if the functions f^0, f^1 are bounded and nonconstant.

3 Application to Second Law

We apply Theorem A to the case when $\mu_\pm$ are the Gibbs measures corresponding to distinct positive temperatures $T_- \neq T_+$. We deduce that for the limit mean energy current $j_\infty = (j_\infty^1, ..., j_\infty^d)$ we have $j_\infty^d = -C(T_+ - T_-)$ with $C > 0$. Moreover, under the additional condition on V we obtain $j_\infty^k = 0$, $k = 1, \ldots, d-1$. This means that the mean energy current is directed from high to low temperature in accordance with Second Law.

3.1 Energy current

3.1.1 Energy current for finite energy solutions

We derive formally the expression for the energy current of the finite energy solutions $u(x,t)$ (see (1.5)). For the half-space $\Omega_k := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x_k \geq 0\}$ we define the energy in the region Ω_k (cf (1.5)) as

$$\mathcal{E}_k(t) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega_k} \Big\{ |\dot u(x,t)|^2 + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \Big(u(x,t), V(x-y) u(y,t) \Big) \Big\}.$$

By formal calculation, using Eqn (1.2) we obtain

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}}_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega_k^c,\, y \in \Omega_k} \Big(\dot u(x,t), V(x-y) u(y,t) \Big) - \sum_{x \in \Omega_k,\, y \in \Omega_k^c} \Big(\dot u(x,t), V(x-y) u(y,t) \Big) \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\Omega_k^c := \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x_k < 0\}$. Introduce new variables: $x = x' + m e_k$, $y = y' + p e_k$, where $x', y' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $x'_k = y'_k = 0$, $e_k = (\delta_{k1}, \ldots, \delta_{kd})$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$. Then we rewrite (3.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_k(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x',y'} \Big\{ \sum_{m \leq -1,\, p \geq 0} \Big(\dot u(x' + m e_k, t), V(x' + m e_k - y' - p e_k) u(y' + p e_k, t) \Big) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m \geq 0,\, p \leq -1} \Big(\dot u(x' + m e_k, t), V(x' + m e_k - y' - p e_k) u(y' + p e_k, t) \Big) \Big\} = \sum_{x'} j^k(x', t). \end{aligned}$$

Here $j^k(x',t)$ stands for the energy current density in the direction e_k: by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} j^k(x',t) :&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y'} \Big\{ \sum_{m \leq -1,\, p \geq 0} \Big(\dot u(x' + m e_k, t), V(x' + m e_k - y' - p e_k) u(y' + p e_k, t) \Big) \\ &\quad - \sum_{m \geq 0,\, p \leq -1} \Big(\dot u(x' + m e_k, t), V(x' + m e_k - y' - p e_k) u(y' + p e_k, t) \Big) \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x', y' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $x'_k = y'_k = 0$.

3.1.2 Limit mean energy current

Now let $u(x,t)$ be the random solution to (1.2) with the initial measure μ_0 satisfying **S0**–**S3**. Then the bounds **E1** and (5.3) (see below) imply for the mathematical expectation:

$$\begin{aligned}
Ej^k(x',t) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{y'}\Big(\sum_{m\le -1,\,p\ge 0}(Q_t^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(x+me_k,y'+pe_k)V_{\alpha\beta}(x'-y'+(m-p)e_k)\\
&\quad -\sum_{m\ge 0,\,p\le -1}(Q_t^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(x'+me_k,y'+pe_k)V_{\alpha\beta}(x'-y'+(m-p)e_k)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we omit the summation on repeating indices $\alpha,\beta\in\overline{n}$. Therefore, from the convergence (1.11) it follows that in the limit $t\to\infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Ej^k(x',t)\to j_\infty^k &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{y'}\Big(\sum_{m\le -1,\,p\ge 0}(q_\infty^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(x'-y'+(m-p)e_k)V_{\alpha\beta}(x'-y'+(m-p)e_k)\\
&\quad -\sum_{m\ge 0,\,p\le -1}(q_\infty^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(x'-y'+(m-p)e_k)V_{\alpha\beta}(x'-y'+(m-p)e_k)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $x'-y'=:z'$, $m-p=:s$ and changing the order of the summation in the series we get

$$\begin{aligned}
j_\infty^k &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{z'}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}^1}(q_\infty^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(z'+se_k)V_{\alpha\beta}(z'+se_k)s=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(q_\infty^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(z)z_kV_{\alpha\beta}(z)\\
&= -i\frac{(2\pi)^{-d}}{2}\int\limits_{T^d}(\hat q_\infty^{10})_{\alpha\beta}(\theta)\partial_k\overline{\hat V_{\alpha\beta}(\theta)}\,d\theta,\quad k=1,\dots,d. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Gibbs measures

3.2.1 Definition of the Gibbs measures

Formally Gibbs measures $g_\pm$ are

$$g_\pm(du_0,dv_0)=\frac{1}{Z_\pm}e^{-\frac{\beta_\pm}{2}\sum\limits_x(|v_0(x)|^2+\langle\mathcal{V}u_0,u_0\rangle)}\prod_x du_0(x)dv_0(x),$$

where $\beta_\pm=T_\pm^{-1}$, $T_\pm\ge 0$ are the corresponding absolute temperatures. We introduce the Gibbs measures $g_\pm$ as the Gaussian measures with the correlation matrices defined by their Fourier transform as

$$\hat q_\pm^{00}(\theta)=T_\pm\hat V^{-1}(\theta),\quad \hat q_\pm^{11}(\theta)=T_\pm\Big(\delta_{kl}\Big)_{k,l\in\overline{n}},\quad \hat q_\pm^{01}(\theta)=\hat q_\pm^{10}(\theta)=0. \qquad (3.3)$$

Let $H_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be the Banach space of the vector-valued functions $u(x)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_\alpha^2\equiv\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(1+|x|^2)^\alpha|u(x)|^2<\infty.$$

Let us fix arbitrary $\alpha < -d/2$. Introduce the Gaussian Borel probability measures $g^0_\pm(du)$, $g^1_\pm(dv)$ in spaces $H_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with characteristic functionals ($\beta_\pm = 1/T_\pm$)

$$\left.\begin{array}{rcl} \hat g^0_\pm(\psi) &=& \displaystyle\int \exp\{i\langle u,\psi\rangle\}\, g^0_\pm(du) = \exp\{-\frac{\langle \mathcal{V}^{-1}\psi,\psi\rangle}{2\beta_\pm}\} \\[2ex] \hat g^1_\pm(\psi) &=& \displaystyle\int \exp\{i\langle v,\psi\rangle\}\, g^1_\pm(dv) = \exp\{-\frac{\langle \psi,\psi\rangle}{2\beta_\pm}\} \end{array}\right| \quad \psi \in D \equiv C_0(\mathbb{Z}^d)\otimes \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By the Minlos theorem, [3], the Borel probability measures $g^0_\pm$, $g^1_\pm$ exist in the spaces $H_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ because *formally* we have

$$\int \|u\|^2_\alpha\, g^0_\pm(du) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|x|^2)^\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \int u_i(x)u_i(x)\, g^0_\pm(du) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|x|^2)^\alpha\ \mathrm{tr}\, q^{00}_\pm(0) < \infty,$$

since $\alpha < -d/2$ and

$$\mathrm{tr}\, q^{00}_\pm(0) = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{T^d} \mathrm{tr}\, \hat q^{00}_\pm(\theta)\, d\theta = T_\pm (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{T^d} \mathrm{tr}\, \hat V^{-1}(\theta)\, d\theta < \infty.$$

The last bound is obvious if $\mathcal{C}_0 = \emptyset$ and it follows from condition **E6** if $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq \emptyset$. Similarly,

$$\int \|v\|^2_\alpha\, g^1_\pm(dv) \quad = \quad T_\pm\, n \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|x|^2)^\alpha < \infty, \quad \alpha < -d/2.$$

Finally, we define the Gibbs measures $g_\pm(dY)$ as the Borel probability measures $g^0_\pm(du) \times g^1_\pm(dv)$ in $\{Y \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha : Y = (u,v)\}$. Let $g_0(dY)$ be a "two-temperature" Borel probability measure in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ that is constructed in section 2.5.2 with $\mu_\pm(dY) = g_\pm(dY)$ and Y_0 be a random function with distribution g_0. Denote by g_t the distribution of $U(t)Y_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now we assume, in addition, that $\mathcal{C}_0 = \emptyset$, i.e. (cf condition **E6**)

$$\det \hat V(\theta) \neq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in T^d. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that in the case of canonical Gibbs measures condition **E5'** is fulfilled (see Remark 2.9 *iii)*). Indeed, by (3.3) we have

$$p^{00}_{kl}(\theta) \;\equiv\; \Big(B^*(\theta)\hat q^{00}_\pm(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl} = T_\pm\Big(B^*(\theta)\hat V^{-1}(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl} = T_\pm \omega_k^{-2}(\theta)\delta_{kl}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$p^{11}_{kl}(\theta) \;\equiv\; \Big(B^*(\theta)\hat q^{11}_\pm(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl} = T_\pm \delta_{kl}. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, $p^{ij}_{kl}(\theta) \equiv \Big(B^*(\theta)\hat q^{ij}_\pm(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl} = 0$ for $k \neq l$, $\forall i,j$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let conditions* **E1-E4**, *(3.4) hold and* $\alpha < -d/2$. *Then there exists a Gaussian Borel probability measure* g_∞ *on* $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ *such that*

$$g_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_\alpha} g_\infty, \quad t \to \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof Let us denote by $Q_t(x,y)$ the covariance matrix of measure g_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that owing to (2.30), the matrix $Q_0(x,y)$ is a "linear combination" of $q_\pm(x-y)$. Hence, $Q_0(x,y)$ satisfies conditions **S0-S2**. Therefore, by (3.3) we have

$$|Q_0(x,y)| \le C_1 + \sum_{\pm} C_\pm |q^{00}_\pm(x-y)|, \;\; x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Condition (3.4) implies

$$|q^{00}_\pm(z)| = T_\pm |F^{-1}_{\theta\to z}[\hat V^{-1}(\theta)]| \sim (1+|z|)^{-N}, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 6.1 (with condition **E5'** instead of **E5**, see Remark 2.9 *iii)*) are applicable to the correlation matrix $Q_t(x,y)$, since the proof uses only the bounds of covariance (4.1), (4.2). These bounds are now provided by the decay (3.8) instead of mixing condition **S3**. Hence, $Q_t(x,y) \to Q_\infty(x,y)$, as $t \to \infty$, and the family of measures $\{g_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is weakly compact in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha < -d/2$. Hence, the convergence (3.7) holds because g_t are Gaussian measures. □

3.2.2 Limit covariance and energy current for the Gibbs measures

Now we rewrite the limit covariance $\hat q_\infty(\theta)$ and the limit mean energy current j_∞ defined by (3.2) in the case of the initial measure $\mu_0 = g_0$ with $\mu_\pm = g_\pm$ defined above. At first, by (2.18)–(2.20) and (3.3) we have

$$M^+_0(\theta) = \overline{T} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \hat V^{-1}(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad M^-_0(\theta) = \Delta T \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \Omega^{-1}(\theta) \\ -\Omega^{-1}(\theta) & 0 \end{array} \right), \tag{3.9}$$

where $\overline{T} := \dfrac{T_+ + T_-}{2}$, $\Delta T := \dfrac{T_+ - T_-}{2}$. Then, due to (2.3) and (2.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M^{00}_\infty(\theta)_{kl} &= \overline{T}\, \omega_k^{-2}(\theta)\delta_{kl}, \;\; M^{11}_\infty(\theta)_{kl} = \overline{T}\, \delta_{kl}, \\ M^{10}_\infty(\theta)_{kl} &= -M^{01}_\infty(\theta)_{kl} = -i\; \Delta T \;\mathrm{sgn}\Big(\frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \theta_d}(\theta)\Big)\omega_k^{-1}(\theta)\delta_{kl}, \;\; k,l \in \overline{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat q^{00}_\infty(\theta) &= \overline{T}\, \hat V^{-1}(\theta), \;\; \hat q^{11}_\infty(\theta) = \overline{T}, \\ \hat q^{10}_\infty(\theta) &= -\hat q^{01}_\infty(\theta) = -i\, \Delta T\, B(\theta)\Big[\mathrm{sgn}\Big(\frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \theta_d}(\theta)\Big)\omega_k^{-1}(\theta)\delta_{kl}\Big]_{k,l\in\overline{n}} B^*(\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting $\hat q^{10}_\infty(\theta)$ from (3.10) in the RHS of (3.2), we obtain

$$j^k_\infty \;=\; -\frac{\Delta T}{2(2\pi)^d} \int\limits_{T^d} B_{\alpha\delta}(\theta)\,\mathrm{sgn}\Big(\frac{\partial \omega_\delta}{\partial \theta_d}(\theta)\Big)\omega_\delta^{-1}(\theta) B^*_{\delta\beta}(\theta)\partial_k\Big(\overline{B_{\alpha\gamma}}(\theta)\omega^2_\gamma(\theta)\overline{B^*_{\gamma\beta}}(\theta)\Big)\, d\theta. \tag{3.11}$$

Here as before we omit the summation on repeating indices $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta \in \overline{n}$. Since $B(\theta)$ is the unitary matrix, we get

$$j^k_\infty = -\frac{\Delta T}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{\gamma\in\overline{n}} \int\limits_{T^d} \mathrm{sgn}\Big(\frac{\partial \omega_\gamma}{\partial \theta_d}(\theta)\Big)\frac{\partial \omega_\gamma}{\partial \theta_k}(\theta)\, d\theta, \quad k = 1,\ldots,d. \tag{3.12}$$

Remark 3.2 *i)* From (3.12) it follows that $j^d_\infty = -\dfrac{\Delta T}{(2\pi)^d}\sum\limits_{\gamma\in\overline{n}}\int\limits_{T^d}\Big|\dfrac{\partial\omega_\gamma}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big|\,d\theta<0$ if $T_+>T_-$.
ii) In some particular cases we have $j^k_\infty = 0$ for $k = 1,\dots,d-1$: for example, a) if each $\omega_\gamma(\theta)$ is even on every variable $\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{d-1}$, or b) if $\mathrm{sgn}\Big(\dfrac{\partial\omega_\gamma}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big)$ depends only on variable θ_d. For instance, a) and b) hold for the simple elastic lattice, what follows by (2.26).
iii) $\hat q^{10}_\infty$ generally is a discontinuous function by (3.10). Therefore, $q^{10}_\infty(x)$ decays as a negative power of $|x|$. The exponential decay is impossible in contrast with the case of [16].

4 Bounds for initial covariance

Definition 4.1 *By* $l^p \equiv l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)\otimes\mathbb{R}^n$, $p\ge 1$, $n\ge 1$, *we denote the space of sequences* $f(k) = (f_1(k),\dots,f_n(k))$ *endowed with norm* $\|f\|_{l^p} = \Big(\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|f(k)|^p\Big)^{1/p}$.

The next Proposition reflects the mixing property in the Fourier transforms $\hat q^{ij}_\pm$ of initial correlation functions $q^{ij}_\pm$. Condition **S2** implies that $q^{ij}_\pm(z)$ are bounded functions. Therefore, its Fourier transform generally belongs to the Schwartz space of tempered distributions.

Proposition 4.2 *Let conditions* **S0-S3** *hold. Then*
i) For $i,j = 0,1$, *the following bounds hold*

$$\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|Q^{ij}_0(x,y)| \le C<\infty \quad \textit{for all } x\in\mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|Q^{ij}_0(x,y)| \le C<\infty \quad \textit{for all } y\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{4.2}$$

Here the constant C *does not depend on* $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d$.
ii) $\hat q^{ij}_\pm\in C(T^d)$, $i,j = 0,1$.

Proof *ad i)* Conditions **S0**, **S2** and **S3** imply by [12, Lemma 17.2.3] (or Lemma 8.4 i) below):

$$|Q^{ij}_0(x,y)|\le Ce_0\,\varphi^{1/2}(|x-y|),\quad x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence, (2.13) implies (4.1):

$$\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|Q^{ij}_0(x,y)|\le Ce_0\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\varphi^{1/2}(|z|)<\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

ad ii) The bound (4.3) and condition (1.8) imply the following bound:

$$|q^{ij}_\pm(z)|\le Ce_0\,\varphi^{1/2}(|z|),\quad z\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, from (2.13) it follows that $q^{ij}_\pm(z)\in l^1$, what implies $\hat q^{ij}_\pm\in C(T^d)$. □

Corollary 4.3 *Proposition 4.2, i) implies, by the Shur lemma, that for any* $\Phi,\Psi\in l^2$ *the following bound holds:*

$$|\langle Q_0(x,y),\Phi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle|\le C\|\Phi\|_{l^2}\|\Psi\|_{l^2}. \tag{4.6}$$

5 Compactness of measures family

Proposition 2.10 follows from the bound (5.1) by the Prokhorov Theorem [22, Lemma II.3.1] using the method of [22, Theorem XII.5.2], since the embedding $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{H}_\beta$ is compact if $\alpha > \beta$.

Lemma 5.1 *Let conditions* **S0, S2, S3** *hold and* $\alpha < -d/2$. *Then the following bounds hold*

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} E\|U(t)Y_0\|_\alpha^2 < \infty. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof Definition (2.1) implies

$$E\|Y(\cdot,t)\|_\alpha^2 = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|x|^2)^\alpha \Big(\operatorname{tr} Q_t^{00}(x,x) + \operatorname{tr} Q_t^{11}(x,x)\Big) < \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $\alpha < -d/2$, it remains to prove that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|Q_t(x,y)\| \le C < \infty. \tag{5.3}$$

The representation (10.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t^{ij}(x,y) &= E\Big(Y^i(x,t)\otimes Y^j(y,t)\Big) = \sum_{x',y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{k,l=0,1} \mathcal{G}_t^{ik}(x-x') Q_0^{kl}(x',y') \mathcal{G}_t^{jl}(y-y') \\ &= \langle Q_0(x',y'), \Phi_x^i(x',t)\otimes \Phi_y^j(y',t)\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\Phi_x^i(x',t) := \Big(\mathcal{G}_t^{i0}(x-x'), \mathcal{G}_t^{i1}(x-x')\Big), \quad x'\in\mathbb{Z}^d, \quad i=0,1.$$

Note that the Parseval identity, (10.5) and condition **E6** imply

$$\|\Phi_x^i(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2}^2 = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{T^d} |\hat\Phi_x^i(\theta,t)|^2\,d\theta = (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{T^d} \Big(|\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^{i0}(\theta)|^2 + |\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^{i1}(\theta)|^2\Big)\,d\theta \le C_0 < \infty.$$

Then Corollary 4.3 gives

$$|Q_t^{ij}(x,y)| = |\langle Q_0(x',y'), \Phi_x^i(x',t)\otimes\Phi_y^j(y',t)\rangle| \le C\|\Phi_x^i(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2}\,\|\Phi_y^j(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2} \le C_1 < \infty, \tag{5.5}$$

where the constant C_1 does not depend on $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$. □

6 "Cutting out" of critical spectrum

We reduce the proof of the convergences (1.11) and (1.12) by a suitable spectral analysis.

6.1 Equicontinuity of covariance

Obviously, (1.11) is equivalent to the next proposition.

Proposition 6.1 *Let conditions* **E1-E6** *and* **S0-S3** *hold. Then* $\forall\Psi\in\mathcal{D}$

$$\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)\to\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi),\quad t\to\infty. \tag{6.1}$$

Let us show that we can restrict ourselves $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$, where $\mathcal{D}^0$ is a subset of functions $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}$ with vanishing spectrum in a neighborhood of a critical set $\mathcal{C}\subset T^d$. For $k=1,...,n$ define the sets

$$Z_k:=\{\theta\in T^d\setminus\mathcal{C}_*:\ \nabla_{\theta_d}\omega_k(\theta)=0\}.$$

Definition 6.2 *i) The critical set* $\mathcal{C}:=\mathcal{C}_0\cup\mathcal{C}_*\cup\Big(\cup_1^n Z_k\Big)\cup\Big(\cup_1^n\mathcal{C}_k\Big)$ *(see* **E4***).*
ii) $\mathcal{D}^0:=\{\Psi\in\mathcal{D}:\hat\Psi(\theta)=0\quad\text{in a neighborhood of}\quad\mathcal{C}\}$.

The next lemma plays the central role in our arguments although its proof is similar to the proofs of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 since $\mathcal{C}\neq T^d$.

Lemma 6.3 *Let conditions* **E1-E4** *hold. Then* mes $\mathcal{C}=0$.

Next, we introduce a norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ in the space $\mathcal{D}$ such that i) $\mathcal{D}^0$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}$ in this norm, while ii) the quadratic forms $\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, are *equicontinuous* in this norm. Then it suffices to prove (6.1) for $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ only.

Definition 6.4 $\mathcal{D}_V$ *is the space* $\mathcal{D}$ *endowed with the norm*

$$\|\Psi\|_V^2:=\int\limits_{T^d}(1+\|V^{-1}(\theta)\|)|\hat\Psi(\theta)|^2\,d\theta,\quad\Psi\in\mathcal{D}, \tag{6.2}$$

which is finite by condition **E6**.

The set $\mathcal{D}^0$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_V$ by Lemma 6.3 and condition **E6**.

Lemma 6.5 *The quadratic forms* $\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, *are equicontinuous in* $\mathcal{D}_V$.

Proof It suffices to prove the uniform bounds

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)|\le C\|\Psi\|_V^2,\quad\Psi\in\mathcal{D}. \tag{6.3}$$

Definition (2.10) implies that $\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi):=E|\langle Y(x,t),\Psi(x)\rangle|^2$. Note that

$$\langle Y(x,t),\Psi(x)\rangle=\langle Y_0(x),\Phi(x,t)\rangle, \tag{6.4}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot,t):=F^{-1}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta)\hat\Psi(\theta)]$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)=\mathcal{Q}_0(\Phi(\cdot,t),\Phi(\cdot,t))$, so

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)|\le C\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\|\Phi(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2}^2 \tag{6.5}$$

by Corollary 4.3. Finally, by the Parseval identity and (10.5), we get

$$\|\Phi(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2}^2=(2\pi)^{-d}\int_{T^d}\|\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta)\|^2|\hat\Psi(\theta)|^2d\theta\le C\|\Psi\|_V^2.\qquad\square \tag{6.6}$$

6.2 Equicontinuity of characteristic functionals

The convergence (1.12) also it suffices to prove for $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^0$ only. This follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 6.6 *The characteristic functionals* $\hat{\mu}_t(\Psi)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *are equicontinuous in* $\mathcal{D}_V$.

Proof This lemma follows immediately from Lemma 6.5 by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat{\mu}_t(\Psi_1) - \hat{\mu}_t(\Psi_2)| &= |\int \Big(e^{i\langle Y,\Psi_1\rangle} - e^{i\langle Y,\Psi_2\rangle}\Big)\mu_t(dY)| \le \int |e^{i\langle Y,\Psi_1-\Psi_2\rangle} - 1|\mu_t(dY) \\
&\le \int |\langle Y, \Psi_1 - \Psi_2\rangle|\mu_t(dY) \le \sqrt{\int |\langle Y, \Psi_1 - \Psi_2\rangle|^2 \mu_t(dY)} \\
&= \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi_1 - \Psi_2, \Psi_1 - \Psi_2)} \le C\|\Psi_1 - \Psi_2\|_V. \qquad \Box
\end{aligned}$$

7 Convergence of covariance for non-critical spectrum

We prove Proposition 6.1 for $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^0$. First we split the initial covariance into the following matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^+(x,y) &:= \mathbf{q}^+(x-y), &(7.1)\\
Q^-(x,y) &:= \mathbf{q}^-(x-y)\,\mathrm{sgn}\, y_d, &(7.2)\\
Q^r(x,y) &:= Q_0(x,y) - Q^+(x,y) - Q^-(x,y). &(7.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{q}^+ = \dfrac{1}{2}(q_+ + q_-)$, $\mathbf{q}^- = \dfrac{1}{2}(q_+ - q_-)$. Since the solution $Y(t)$ to Cauchy problem (1.2) admits the representation (10.3), we have

$$Q_t(x,y) = \sum_{x',y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \Big(\mathcal{G}_t(x-x')Q_0(x',y')\mathcal{G}_t^T(y-y')\Big).$$

Next introduce the matrices

$$Q_t^a(x,y) = \sum_{x',y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \Big(\mathcal{G}_t(x-x')Q^a(x',y')\mathcal{G}_t^T(y-y')\Big), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad t > 0, \tag{7.4}$$

for each $a = \{+,-,r\}$, and split $Q_t(x,y)$ into three terms: $Q_t(x,y) = Q_t^+(x,y) + Q_t^-(x,y) + Q_t^r(x,y)$. Below in Lemmas 7.1, 7.2, 7.4 we will prove the convergence of type (6.1) to a limit for each term $Q_t^a(x,y)$.

7.1 Convergence of $Q_t^+(x,y)$

Lemma 7.1 $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \langle Q_t^+(x,y), \Psi(x) \otimes \Psi(y)\rangle = \langle q_\infty^+(x-y), \Psi(x) \otimes \Psi(y)\rangle$ *for any* $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^0$, *where the matrix* q_∞^+ *is defined by (2.22).*

Proof At first, let us apply the Fourier transform to the matrix $Q_t^+(x,y)$ defined by (7.4). Then we have $\hat{Q}_t^+(\theta,\theta') := F_{\substack{x\to\theta\\ y\to-\theta'}} Q_t^+(x,y) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{Q}^+(\theta,\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^T(-\theta')$, where $\hat{Q}^+(\theta,\theta') := F_{\substack{x\to\theta\\ y\to-\theta'}} Q^+(x,y)$. From (7.1) it follows that $\hat{Q}^+(\theta,\theta') = \delta(\theta-\theta')\ (2\pi)^d\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)$. Hence,

$$\hat{Q}_t^+(\theta,\theta') = (2\pi)^d\delta(\theta-\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta). \tag{7.5}$$

Here we use that $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^T(-\theta) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta)$ by condition **E2**. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Q_t^+(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle &= (2\pi)^{-2d}\langle\hat{Q}_t^+(\theta,\theta'),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta')\rangle\\ &= (2\pi)^{-d}\langle\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\rangle. \qquad (7.6)\end{aligned}$$

Further, we choose certain smooth branches of the functions $B(\theta)$ and $\omega_k(\theta)$ to apply the stationary phase arguments which require a smoothness in θ. We choose a finite partition of unity

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} g_m(\theta) = 1, \quad \theta\in\operatorname{supp}\hat{\Psi}, \tag{7.7}$$

where g_m are nonnegative functions from $C_0^\infty(T^d)$ and vanish in a neighborhood of the set $\mathcal{C}$ defined in Definition 6.2, i). Further, using (7.7) we rewrite the RHS of (7.6). Applying formulas (10.6), (10.7) for $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)$, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta)$, we obtain (see Appendix)

$$\langle Q_t^+(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle = (2\pi)^{-d}\sum_m\int_{T^d} g_m(\theta)\Big(B(\theta)R_t(\theta)B^*(\theta),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\Big)\,d\theta, \tag{7.8}$$

where by $R_t(\theta)$ we denote the $2n\times 2n$ matrix with the entries (cf (10.13)):

$$\begin{aligned}R_t(\theta)_{kl} \;=\; &\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\Big\{\cos\left(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\right)t\ \Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\mp\hat{C}(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\hat{C}^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl}\\ &+\sin\left(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\right)t\ \Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat{C}(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\pm\hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)\hat{C}^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl}\Big\}. \qquad (7.9)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the compactness arguments, we choose the eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ and the matrix $B(\theta)$ as real-analytic functions inside the $\operatorname{supp} g_m$ for every m: we do not mark the functions by the index m to not overburden the notations. Now we analyze the Fourier integrals with g_m.

At first, note that the identitites $\omega_k(\theta)+\omega_l(\theta)\equiv\text{const}_+$ or $\omega_k(\theta)-\omega_l(\theta)\equiv\text{const}_-$ with the $\text{const}_\pm\neq 0$ are impossible by condition **E5**. Furthermore, the oscillatory integrals with $\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\not\equiv\text{const}$ vanish as $t\to\infty$. Hence, only the integrals with $\omega_k(\theta)-\omega_l(\theta)\equiv 0$ contribute to the limit, since $\omega_k(\theta)+\omega_l(\theta)\equiv 0$ would imply $\omega_k(\theta)\equiv\omega_l(\theta)\equiv 0$ which is impossible by **E4**. We enumerate the eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ as in (2.5). Then if $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$, we have $\cos(\omega_k-\omega_l)t = 1$ for $\sigma = 1,\dots,s+1$. By formula (10.15) with $\hat{q}(\theta) := \hat{\mathbf{q}}^+(\theta)$ and (7.8), (7.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle Q_t^+(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle\\ =\;& (2\pi)^{-d}\sum_m\int_{T^d} g_m(\theta)\Big(B(\theta)\Big[\chi_{kl}\Big(B^*(\theta)M_0^+(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl}\Big]_{k,l\in\bar{n}}B^*(\theta)+\dots,\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\Big)\,d\theta\\ =\;& (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{T^d}\Big(\hat{q}_\infty^+(\theta),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\Big)\,d\theta+\dots, \qquad (7.10)\end{aligned}$$

where $M_0^+(\theta)$ is defined in (2.18), "$\dots$" stands for the oscillatory integrals which contain $\cos(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta))t$ and $\sin(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta))t$ with $\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\not\equiv$ const. The oscillatory integrals converge to zero by the Lebesgue-Riemann Theorem since all the integrands in '$\dots$' are summable, and $\nabla(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta))=0$ only on the set of the Lebesgue measure zero. The summability follows from Proposition 4.2, ii) and **E6** (if $\mathcal{C}_0\neq\emptyset$) since the matrices $B(\theta)$ are unitary. The zero measure follows similarly to (2.4) since $\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\not\equiv$ const. Lemma 7.1 is proved. □

7.2 Convergence of $Q_t^-(x,y)$

Lemma 7.2 *$\lim\limits_{t\to\infty}\langle Q_t^-(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle=\langle q_\infty^-(x-y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle$ for any $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$, where the matrix q_∞^- is defined in (2.23).*

Proof *Step 1* At first we apply the Fourier transform to $Q_t^-(x,y)$ defined by (7.4):

$$\hat{Q}_t^-(\theta,\theta'):=F_{\substack{x\,\to\,\theta\\ y\,\to\,-\theta'}}\;Q_t^-(x,y)=\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{Q}^-(\theta,\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^T(-\theta'), \tag{7.11}$$

where $\hat{Q}^-(\theta,\theta'):=F_{\substack{x\,\to\,\theta\\ y\,\to\,-\theta'}}\;Q^-(x,y)$. Similarly to (7.6) and (7.8) using the partition of unity (7.7) and also formulas (10.10) and (10.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle Q_t^-(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle=(2\pi)^{-2d}\langle\hat{Q}_t^-(\theta,\theta'),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta')\rangle\\ =\;&(2\pi)^{-2d}\sum_{m,m'}\langle g_m(\theta)g_{m'}(\theta')B(\theta)R_t(\theta,\theta')B^*(\theta'),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta')\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

where $R_t(\theta,\theta')$ is defined in (10.11) with $\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta'):=\hat{Q}^-(\theta,\theta')$. Second, we have $F_{y\to\theta}(\operatorname{sgn}y)=i\,\mathrm{PV}(\dfrac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta/2)})$, $\theta\in T^1$, where PV stands for the Cauchy principal part and $y\in\mathbb{Z}^1$. Hence, by (7.2), we obtain

$$\hat{Q}^-(\theta,\theta')=\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')\;(2\pi)^{d-1}\;i\;\mathrm{PV}(\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta_d')/2})\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta). \tag{7.13}$$

Here $\bar\theta=(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{d-1})$, $\bar\theta'=(\theta_1',\dots,\theta_{d-1}')$, $\theta=(\bar\theta,\theta_d)$, $\theta'=(\bar\theta',\theta_d')\in T^d$. Note that the Fourier transform of $Q_t^-(x,y)$ is more singular than of $Q_t^+(x,y)$ (cf. formulas (7.5) and (7.11), (7.13)). Therefore it is of key importance that we can restrict ourselves by the functions $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$. Further, (7.13) and (10.11) with $\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta'):=\hat{Q}^-(\theta,\theta')$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}R_t(\theta,\theta')_{kl}\;\;=\;\;&\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\,\mathrm{PV}(\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta_d')/2})\\ &\cdot\sum_{\pm}\Big\{\cos\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t\,\Big(M_1^{\pm}(\theta,\theta')\Big)_{kl}+\sin\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t\,\Big(M_2^{\pm}(\theta,\theta')\Big)_{kl}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

Here $\omega_{kl}^{\pm}\equiv\omega_{kl}^{\pm}(\theta,\theta'):=\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta')$, $M_1^{\pm}(\theta,\theta'):=B^*(\theta)\frac{1}{2}\Big(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta)\mp\hat{C}(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta)\hat{C}^*(\theta')\Big)B(\theta')$, $M_2^{\pm}(\theta,\theta'):=B^*(\theta)\frac{1}{2}\Big(\hat{C}(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta)\pm\hat{\mathbf{q}}^-(\theta)\hat{C}^*(\theta')\Big)B(\theta')$. Let us analyse the summands in the

RHS of (7.12). Since $\cos(\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t) = \dfrac{e^{i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t} + e^{-i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t}}{2}$ and $\sin(\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t) = \dfrac{e^{i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t} - e^{-i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t}}{2i}$ it suffices to prove the convergence for arising integrals $I_{kl}^{\pm}(t)$ resp. $J_{kl}^{\pm}(t)$ with $e^{i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t}$ resp. $e^{-i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t}$ (see *Step 2* resp. *Step 3*).

Step 2 First, we consider the integrals $I_{kl}^{\pm}(t)$. Let us denote, for simplicity of exposition, $g_m \equiv g_m(\theta)$, $g_{m'} \equiv g_{m'}(\theta')$ and $\hat{\Psi}_r(\theta) := (\hat{\Psi}_r^0(\theta), \hat{\Psi}_r^1(\theta))$. Also let us denote by $p_{kl}(\theta,\theta')$ one of the expressions $B_{rk}(\theta)(M_i^{\pm}(\theta,\theta'))_{kl}B_{ks}^*(\theta')$ with either $+$ or $-$, and some $i = 1, 2$, $r, s \in \overline{n}$. Then (7.12) and (7.14) give,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{kl}^{\pm}(t): &= (2\pi)^{-2d}\langle g_m g_{m'}\delta(\overline{\theta}-\overline{\theta}')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta_d')/2}e^{i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}t}p_{kl}(\theta,\theta'), \hat{\Psi}_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}_s}(\theta')\rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d-1}i\int\limits_{T^d} g_m e^{i\omega_k(\theta)t}\overline{\hat{\Psi}_r}(\theta)\Big(\mathrm{PV}\int\limits_{T^1} g_{m'}\, e^{\pm i\omega_l(\theta')t}\frac{p_{kl}(\theta,\theta')\hat{\Psi}_s(\theta')}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta_d')/2}\Big|_{\theta'=(\overline{\theta},\theta_d')} d\theta_d'\Big)d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

The integral with PV in the RHS of (7.15) exists since $\omega_l(\theta')$ are analytic inside the $\mathrm{supp}\, g_{m'}(\theta')$. Changing variables $\theta_d' \to \theta_d - \theta_d' = \xi$ in the inner integral in the RHS of (7.15) we obtain

$$I_{kl}^{\pm}(t) = (2\pi)^{-d-1}i\int\limits_{T^d} g_m e^{i\omega_k(\theta)t}\overline{\hat{\Psi}_r}(\theta)\Big(\mathrm{PV}\int\limits_{T^1} g_{m'}e^{\pm i\omega_l(\theta')t}\,\frac{p_{kl}(\theta,\theta')\hat{\Psi}_s(\theta')}{\mathrm{tg}(\xi/2)}\Big|_{\theta'=(\overline{\theta},\theta_d-\xi)} d\xi\Big)d\theta. \tag{7.16}$$

From Definition 6.2 it follows that $\nabla_{\theta_d'}\omega_l(\theta') \neq 0$ for $\theta' \in \mathrm{supp}\, g_{m'} \subset \mathrm{supp}\, \hat{\Psi}$. Next lemma follows from [1, Proposition A.4 i), ii)].

Lemma 7.3 *Let* $\nabla_{\theta_d}\omega_l(\theta) \neq 0$ *for* $\theta \in \mathrm{supp}\, g_{m'}$ *and* $p(\theta) \in C^1(T^d)$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} P_l(\theta,t) &:= \mathrm{PV}\int\limits_{T^1} g_{m'}(\overline{\theta},\theta_d-\xi)\frac{e^{\pm i\omega_l(\overline{\theta},\theta_d-\xi)t}}{\mathrm{tg}(\xi/2)}p(\overline{\theta},\theta_d-\xi)\,d\xi \\ &= 2\pi i\, g_{m'}(\theta)\, e^{\pm i\omega_l(\theta)t}p(\theta)\,\mathrm{sgn}(\mp\frac{\partial\omega_l}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)) + o(1), \quad t \to +\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

for $\theta \in \mathrm{supp}\, g_{m'}$, *and*

$$\sup_{\theta\in T^d, t\in\mathbb{R}, l\in\overline{n}} |P_l(\theta,t)| < \infty. \tag{7.18}$$

Applying Lemma 7.3 to the inner 1D integral in (7.16), we obtain as $t \to +\infty$,

$$I_{kl}^{\pm}(t) = -(2\pi)^{-d}\int\limits_{T^d} g_m(\theta)g_{m'}(\theta)e^{i\omega_{kl}^{\pm}(\theta,\theta)t}p_{kl}(\theta,\theta)\,\mathrm{sgn}\,(\mp\frac{\partial\omega_l}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta))\overline{\hat{\Psi}_r}(\theta)\hat{\Psi}_s(\theta)\,d\theta + o(1), \tag{7.19}$$

where $\omega_{kl}^{\pm}(\theta,\theta) \equiv \omega_k(\theta) \pm \omega_l(\theta)$. Let us discuss the limits of the integrals $I_{kl}^{\pm}(\theta)$ as $t \to +\infty$. At first, we note that the identities $\omega_{kl}^{+}(\theta,\theta) \equiv \mathrm{const}_+$ or $\omega_{kl}^{-}(\theta,\theta) \equiv \mathrm{const}_-$ with the $\mathrm{const}_\pm \neq 0$ are impossible by condition **E5**. On the other hand, the oscillatory integrals with $\omega_{kl}^{\pm}(\theta,\theta) \not\equiv \mathrm{const}$ vanish as $t \to \infty$ owing to Proposition 4.2, ii), **E4**, **E5**, **E6** (if $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq \emptyset$) and the Lebesgue-Riemann theorem (as in Lemma 7.1). Hence,

$$I_{kl}^{+}(t) \to 0, \quad t \to +\infty. \tag{7.20}$$

Similarly, in the case $\omega^-_{kl}(\theta,\theta)\not\equiv 0$, we have $I^-_{kl}(t)\to 0$, as $t\to\infty$. Therefore, only integrals with $\omega^-_{kl}(\theta,\theta)\equiv 0$, i.e. $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$, $\sigma=1,\dots,s+1$ (see (2.5)), contribute to a limit. Finally, by (2.17), we get

$$I^-_{kl}(t)=-(2\pi)^{-d}\int\limits_{T^d} g_m g_{m'}\chi_{kl}\,p_{kl}(\theta,\theta)\,\mathrm{sgn}\,\Big(\frac{\partial\omega_k}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big)\overline{\hat\Psi_r}(\theta)\hat\Psi_s(\theta)\,d\theta+o(1),\ t\to+\infty. \quad (7.21)$$

Step 3 Now consider the integrals $J^\pm_{kl}(t)$ of type (7.15) with $e^{-i\omega^\pm_{kl}t}$ instead of $e^{i\omega^\pm_{kl}t}$. Similarly to (7.15)-(7.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J^+_{kl}(t):\ &=\ (2\pi)^{-2d}\langle g_m g_{m'}\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta'_d)/2}e^{-i\omega^+_{kl}t}p_{kl}(\theta,\theta'),\hat\Psi_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi_s}(\theta')\rangle\\ &=\ o(1),\quad t\to\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

The same decay as $t\to+\infty$ is valid if we substitute ω^-_{kl} in (7.22) instead of ω^+_{kl} for all $k,l\in\overline{n}$ except when $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$. For $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$ we have $\omega_k(\theta)\equiv\omega_l(\theta)$. Hence, by the arguments of type (7.15)-(7.19) and (7.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J^-_{kl}(t)\ &=\ (2\pi)^{-2d}\langle g_m g_{m'}\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta'_d)/2}\,e^{-i\omega^-_{kl}t}p_{kl}(\theta,\theta'),\hat\Psi_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi_s}(\theta')\rangle\\ &=\ (2\pi)^{-d}\int\limits_{T^d} g_m g_{m'}\chi_{kl}\,p_{kl}(\theta,\theta)\,\mathrm{sgn}\,\Big(\frac{\partial\omega_k}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big)\overline{\hat\Psi_r}(\theta)\hat\Psi_s(\theta)\,d\theta+o(1),\ \ t\to+\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

From (7.20), (7.22) it follows that for any $k,l\in\overline{n}$ as $t\to\infty$,

$$\langle g_m g_{m'}\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta'_d)/2}\cos(\omega^\pm_{kl}t)p_{kl}(\theta,\theta'),\hat\Psi_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi_s}(\theta')\rangle=o(1), \quad (7.24)$$

since the signes in (7.21) and (7.23) are oppposite. Similarly, by (7.20) and (7.22), we have

$$\langle g_m g_{m'}\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta'_d)/2}\sin\,(\omega^+_{kl}t)p_{kl}(\theta,\theta'),\hat\Psi_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi_s}(\theta')\rangle=o(1),\ \ t\to\infty. \quad (7.25)$$

The same relation holds if we substitute ω^-_{kl} in the LHS of (7.25) instead of ω^+_{kl} for all $k,l\in\overline{n}$ except when $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$. At last, using (7.23), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-2d}\langle g_m g_{m'}\delta(\bar\theta-\bar\theta')(2\pi)^{d-1}i\mathrm{PV}\frac{1}{\mathrm{tg}(\theta_d-\theta'_d)/2}\sin(\omega^-_{kl}t)\ p_{kl}(\theta,\theta'),\hat\Psi_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi_s}(\theta')\rangle\\ &=(2\pi)^{-d}\langle g_m g_{m'}\chi_{kl}\,i\,\mathrm{sgn}\,\Big(\frac{\partial\omega_k}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big)p_{kl}(\theta,\theta),\hat\Psi_r(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi_s}(\theta)\rangle+o(1),\ \ t\to+\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (7.26)$$

Here (see *Steps 1, 2*) by $p_{kl}(\theta,\theta)$ we denote

$$p_{kl}(\theta,\theta)\equiv B_{rk}(\theta)\Big(M^-_2(\theta,\theta)\Big)_{kl}B^*_{ks}(\theta)\equiv B_{rk}(\theta)\Big(B^*(\theta)M^-_0(\theta)B(\theta)\Big)_{kl}B^*_{ks}(\theta),$$

where $M^-_0(\theta)$ is defined by (2.19).

Step 4 Now we return to the RHS of (7.12). Let us substitute (7.14) in (7.12). Then by (7.24) the summands in the RHS (7.12) with $\cos\omega^\pm_{kl}t$ tend to zero. Further, by (7.25), (7.26) only integrals with $\sin\omega_{kl}^{-}t$, $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$, $\sigma=1,\dots,s+1$ contribute to a limit. Finally, (7.12), (7.14) and (7.22)-(7.26) imply,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle Q_t^-(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle\\
&=(2\pi)^{-d}\sum_{m,m'}\langle g_m g_{m'}B(\theta)\Big[\chi_{kl}i\,\mathrm{sgn}\Big(\frac{\partial\omega_k}{\partial\theta_d}(\theta)\Big)M_2^-(\theta,\theta)_{kl}\Big]_{k,l\in\overline{n}}B^*(\theta),\hat\Psi(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi}(\theta)\rangle+o(1)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-d}\sum_{m,m'}\langle g_m g_{m'}\,\hat q_\infty^-(\theta),\hat\Psi(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat\Psi}(\theta)\rangle+o(1)\\
&=\langle q_\infty^-(x-y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle+o(1),\quad t\to+\infty. \qquad\Box
\end{aligned}$$

7.3 Convergence of $Q_t^r(x,y)$

Lemma 7.4 $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty}\langle Q_t^r(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y))\rangle=0$ *for any* $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$.

Proof. *Step 1* We develop the method [1, p.140]. Let us define (as in (6.4))

$$\Phi(x',t):=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathcal{G}_t^T(x-x')\Psi(x).$$

Then using (7.4) we have,

$$\langle Q_t^r(x,y),\Psi(x)\otimes\Psi(y)\rangle=\sum_{x'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\sum_{y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}Q^r(x',y')\Phi(x',t)\Phi(y',t)=\sum_{z'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\mathcal{F}_t(z'),\tag{7.27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_t(z'):=\sum_{y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}Q^r(y'+z',y')\Phi(y'+z',t)\Phi(y',t).\tag{7.28}$$

The estimates (4.3), (4.5) and definition (7.3) imply the same estimate for $Q^r(x,y)$: $|Q^r(x,y)|\le Ce_0\varphi^{1/2}(|x-y|)$. Hence, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (6.6) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}_t(z')| &\le \sum_{y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|Q^r(y'+z',y')\|\,|\Phi(y'+z',t)|\,|\Phi(y',t)|\\
&\le C\varphi^{1/2}(|z'|)\sum_{y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\Phi(y'+z',t)|\,|\Phi(y',t)|\le C_1\varphi^{1/2}(|z'|)\|\Psi\|_V^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{7.29}$$

where $\|\Psi\|_V^2$ is defined by (6.2). Hence, (2.13) and condition **E6** imply

$$\sum_{z'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\mathcal{F}_t(z')|\le C(\Psi)\sum_{z'\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\varphi^{1/2}(|z'|)\le C_1<\infty,\tag{7.30}$$

and the series (7.27) converges uniformly in t. Therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathcal{F}_t(z')=0\ \text{ for each } z'\in\mathbb{Z}^d.\tag{7.31}$$

Step 2 Let us prove (7.31). Condition **S1** and (7.3) imply that $Q^r(y'+z',y')=q^r(\bar z',y'_d+z'_d,y'_d)$, where

$$\lim_{y'_d\to\pm\infty}q^r(\bar z',y'_d+z'_d,y'_d)=0,\ \text{ for }(\bar z',z'_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d.\tag{7.32}$$

Hence, $\forall\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $|q^r(\bar z', y'_d + z'_d, y'_d)| < \varepsilon$ for $|y'_d| > N$. Respectively, decompose the series (7.28) into two series: $\mathcal{F}_t(z') = \sum\limits_{\bar y'\in\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum\limits_{|y'_d|>N} \ldots + \sum\limits_{\bar y'\in\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum\limits_{|y'_d|<N} \ldots$. By (6.6) and condition **E6**, the first series is estimated by

$$\Big|\sum_{\bar y'\in\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{|y'_d|>N} q^r(\bar z', y'_d + z'_d, y'_d)\Phi(y'+z', t)\Phi(y', t)\Big| \le \varepsilon \sum_{y'\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\Phi(y', t)|^2 \le \varepsilon\, C(\Psi). \qquad (7.33)$$

Note that $q^r(\bar z', y'_d + z'_d, y'_d)$ does not depend on $\overline{y}'$. Then we can rewrite the second series by the Parseval identity as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|y'_d|<N} q^r(\bar z', y'_d + z'_d, y'_d) \sum_{\bar y'\in\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \Phi(y'+z', t)\Phi(y', t) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-2d+2} \sum_{|y'_d|<N} q^r(\bar z', y'_d + z'_d, y'_d) \int\limits_{T^{d-1}} F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y'+z', t)]\, \overline{F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)]}\, d\bar\theta. \qquad (7.34)
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that the integral in the RHS of (7.34) tends to zero as $t\to\infty$ for fixed $z'\in\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $|y'_d| < N$. First, let us note that for the integrand in (7.34) the following uniform bound holds,

$$|F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y'+z', t)]\overline{F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)]}| \le G(\bar\theta), \quad t\ge 0, \quad \text{where } G(\bar\theta)\in L^1(T^{d-1}). \qquad (7.35)$$

Indeed, rewrite the function $F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)]$ in the form

$$F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)] = (2\pi)^{-1}\int\limits_{T^1} e^{-i\theta_d y'_d}\,\hat\Phi(\theta, t)\, d\theta_d = (2\pi)^{-1}\int\limits_{T^1} e^{-i\theta_d y'_d}\,\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta)\hat\Psi(\theta)\, d\theta_d. \qquad (7.36)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y'+z', t)]\overline{F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)]}| &\le C\Big(\int\limits_{T^1} \|\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta)\|\,|\hat\Psi(\theta)|\, d\theta_d\Big)^2 \le C_1\int\limits_{T^1} \|\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta)\|^2\,|\hat\Psi(\theta)|^2\, d\theta_d \\
&\le C_2\int\limits_{T^1} \|(1+\|\hat V^{-1}(\theta)\|)|\hat\Psi(\theta)|^2\, d\theta_d := G(\bar\theta) \qquad (7.37)
\end{aligned}$$

and (7.35) follows from condition **E6**. Therefore, it suffices to prove that the integrand in the RHS of (7.34) tends to zero as $t\to\infty$ for a.a. fixed $\overline{\theta}\in T^{d-1}$. We use the finite partition of unity (7.7) (remember that $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$) and split the function $F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)]$ into the sum of the integrals:

$$F_{\bar y'\to\bar\theta}[\Phi(y', t)] = \sum_m \sum_{\pm, k\in\overline{n}} \int\limits_{T^1} g_m(\theta) e^{-i\theta_d y'_d} e^{\pm i\omega_k(\theta)t} a^\pm_k(\theta)\hat\Psi(\theta)\, d\theta_d, \quad \Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0. \qquad (7.38)$$

The eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ and the matrices $a^\pm_k(\theta)$ are real-analytic functions inside the $\operatorname{supp} g_m$ for every m. From Definition 6.2 i) and conditions **E4, E6** it follows that $\operatorname{mes}\{\theta_d\in T^1 : \nabla_{\theta_d}\omega_k(\theta) = 0\} = 0$ for a.a. fixed $\overline{\theta}\in T^{d-1}$. Hence, the integrals in (7.38) vanish as $t\to\infty$ by the Lebesgue-Riemann theorem. □

Finally, Lemmas 7.1, 7.2 and 7.4 imply the convergence (6.1) for $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$. Then (6.1) follows for any $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}$ by Lemma 6.5 (see section 6.1). Proposition 6.1 is proved. □

8 Bernstein's argument

8.1 Oscillatory integrals and stationary phase method

To prove (1.12) we evaluate $\langle Y(\cdot,t),\Psi\rangle$ by (6.4), where

$$\Phi(x,t):=F^{-1}_{\theta\to x}[\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta)\hat\Psi(\theta)]=(2\pi)^{-d}\int\limits_{T^d}e^{-i\theta x}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta)\hat\Psi(\theta)\,d\theta,\ \ x\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{8.1}$$

Similarly to (7.38) or (7.8) using the partition of unity (7.7) we get

$$\Phi(x,t)=\sum_m\sum_{\pm,\,k\in\overline{n}}\ \int\limits_{T^d}g_m(\theta)e^{-i(\theta x\pm\omega_k t)}a^\pm_k(\theta)\hat\Psi(\theta)\,d\theta,\quad \Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0, \tag{8.2}$$

where $\omega_k(\theta)$ and $a^\pm_k(\theta)$ are real-analytic functions inside the $\operatorname{supp} g_m$ for every m.

Note that $\Phi(t):=\Phi(\cdot,t)$ is the solution to the "conjugate" equation (cf (1.3), (1.4))

$$\dot\Phi(t)=\mathcal{A}'\Phi(t),\ \ t\in\mathbb{R};\qquad \mathcal{A}'=\begin{pmatrix}0&-\mathcal{V}\\1&0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.3}$$

which is obvious in the Fourier transform. Therefore, the solutions $Y(t)=(Y^0(t),Y^1(t))$ and $\Phi(t)=(\Phi^0(t),\Phi^1(t))$ to the equations (1.3) and (8.3) coincide up to order of the components. Hence, $\Phi(x,t)$ has corresponding dispersive properties.

We will deduce (1.12) by analyzing the propagation of the solution $\Phi(x,t)$ to Eqn (8.3), in different directions $x=vt$ with $v\in\mathbb{R}^d$. For this purpose, we apply the stationary phase method to the oscillatory integral (8.2) along the rays $x=vt$, $t>0$. Then the phase becomes $(\theta v\pm\omega_k(\theta))t$, and its stationary points are the solutions to the equations $v=\mp\nabla\omega_k(\theta)$.

Recall that we can restrict ourselves by $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$, hence $\hat\Psi(\theta)=0$ in the points $\theta\in T^d$ with degenerate Hessian $D_k(\theta)$ (see **E4**). Therefore, the stationary phase method leads to the following two different types of the asymptotic behavior of $\Phi(vt,t)$ as $t\to\infty$:

I. For the velocity v inside the light cone: $v=\pm\nabla\omega_k(\theta)$, $\theta\in T^d\setminus\mathcal{C}$. Then

$$\Phi(vt,t)=\mathcal{O}(t^{-d/2}). \tag{8.4}$$

II. For the velocity v outside the light cone: $v\neq\pm\nabla\omega_k(\theta)$, $\theta\in T^d\setminus\mathcal{C}$, $k\in\overline{n}$. Then

$$\Phi(vt,t)=\mathcal{O}(t^{-p}),\ \ \forall p>0. \tag{8.5}$$

The asymptotics of the types **I** and **II** allow us to incorporate the Bernstein-type approach developed in [1] for case $d=1$ and in [7, 8] for continuous Klein-Gordon and wave equations for $d\geq 1$. We formalize (8.4), (8.5) as follows.

Lemma 8.1 *For any fixed* $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ *the following bounds hold:*

i) $$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\Phi(x,t)|\leq C\,t^{-d/2}. \tag{8.6}$$

ii) For any $p>0$ *there exist* $C_p,\gamma>0$ *s.t.*

$$|\Phi(x,t)|\leq C_p(1+|x|+|t|)^{-p},\qquad |x|\geq\gamma t. \tag{8.7}$$

Proof Consider $\Phi(x,t)$ along each ray $x = vt$ with arbitrary $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Substituting to (8.2), we get

$$\Phi(vt,t) = \sum_m \sum_{\pm,\ k\in\overline{n}} \int_{T^d} g_m(\theta) e^{-i(\theta v \pm \omega_k(\theta))t} a_k^{\pm}(\theta) \hat{\Psi}(\theta)\, d\theta, \quad \hat{\Psi} \in \mathcal{D}^0. \tag{8.8}$$

This is a sum of oscillatory integrals with the phase functions $\phi_k^{\pm}(\theta) = \theta v \pm \omega_k(\theta)$ and the amplitudes $a_k^{\pm}(\theta)$ which are real-analytic functions of the θ inside the $\operatorname{supp} g_m$. Since $\omega_k(\theta)$ is real-analytic, each function $\phi_k^{\pm}$ has no more than a finite number of stationary points $\theta \in \operatorname{supp} g_m$, solutions to the equation $v = \mp\nabla\omega_k(\theta)$. The stationary points are non-degenerate for $\theta \in \operatorname{supp} g_m$ by (7.7), Definition 6.2 and **E4** since

$$\det\Big(\frac{\partial^2 \phi_k^{\pm}}{\partial\theta_i \partial\theta_j}\Big) = \pm D_k(\theta) \neq 0, \quad \theta \in \operatorname{supp} g_m. \tag{8.9}$$

At last, $\hat{\Psi}(\theta)$ is smooth since $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, $\Phi(vt,t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-d/2})$ according to the standard stationary phase method [13, 18]. This implies the bounds (8.6) in each cone $|x| \le ct$ with any finite c.

Further, denote by $\bar{v} := \max_m \max_{k\in\overline{n}} \max\limits_{\theta\in\operatorname{supp} g_m} |\nabla\omega_k(\theta)|$. Then for $|v| > \bar{v}$ the stationary points do not exist on the $\operatorname{supp}\hat{\Psi}$. Hence, the integration by parts as in [18] yields $\Phi(vt,t) = \mathcal{O}(t^{-p})$ for any $p > 0$. On the other hand, the integration by parts in (8.2) implies similar bound $\Phi(x,t) = \mathcal{O}\Big((t/|x|)^l\Big)$ for any $l > 0$. Therefore, (8.7) follows with any $\gamma > \overline{v}$. Now the bounds (8.6) follow everywhere. □

8.2 'Rooms - corridors' partition

The remaining constructions in the proof of (1.12) are similar to [7, 11]. However, the proofs are not identical since here we consider non translation-invariant case.

Let us introduce a 'room-corridor' partition of the ball $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |x| \le \gamma t\}$, with γ from (8.7). For $t > 0$ we choose Δ_t and $\rho_t \in \mathbb{N}$. Asymptotical relations between t, Δ_t and ρ_t are specified below. Let us set $h_t = \Delta_t + \rho_t$ and

$$a^j = jh_t,\ \ b^j = a^j + \Delta_t,\ \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad N_t = [(\gamma t)/h_t]. \tag{8.10}$$

We call the slabs $R_t^j = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x| \le N_t h_t,\ a^j \le x_d < b^j\}$ the 'rooms', $C_t^j = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x| \le N_t h_t,\ b^j \le x_d < a^{j+1}\}$ the 'corridors' and $L_t = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x| > N_t h_t\}$ the 'tails'. Here $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, Δ_t is the width of a room, and ρ_t of a corridor. Let us denote by χ_t^j the indicator of the room R_t^j, ξ_t^j that of the corridor C_t^j, and η_t that of the tail L_t. Then

$$\textstyle\sum_t [\chi_t^j(x) + \xi_t^j(x)] + \eta_t(x) = 1,\ \ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{8.11}$$

where the sum $\sum_t$ stands for $\sum\limits_{j=-N_t}^{N_t-1}$. Hence, we get the following Bernstein's type representation:

$$\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle = \textstyle\sum_t [\langle Y_0, \chi_t^j \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle + \langle Y_0, \xi_t^j \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle] + \langle Y_0, \eta_t \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle. \tag{8.12}$$

Let us define the random variables r_t^j , c_t^j , l_t by

$$r_t^j = \langle Y_0, \chi_t^j \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle, \quad c_t^j = \langle Y_0, \xi_t^j \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle, \;\; l_t = \langle Y_0, \eta_t \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle. \tag{8.13}$$

Then (8.12) becomes

$$\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle = \sum\nolimits_t (r_t^j + c_t^j) + l_t. \tag{8.14}$$

Lemma 8.2 *Let* **S0–S3** *hold and* $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^0$. *The following bounds hold for* $t > 1$ *:*

$$\begin{aligned} E|r_t^j|^2 &\le C(\Psi)\ \Delta_t/t, \ \ \forall j, &(8.15)\\ E|c_t^j|^2 &\le C(\Psi)\ \rho_t/t, \ \ \forall j, &(8.16)\\ E|l_t|^2 &\le C_p(\Psi)\ t^{-p}, \ \ \forall p > 0. &(8.17)\end{aligned}$$

Proof (8.17) follows from (8.7) and Proposition 4.2, i). We discuss (8.15) only, (8.16) is done in a similar way. Let us express $E|r_t^j|^2$ in the correlation matrices. Definition (8.13) implies

$$E|r_t^j|^2 = \langle Q_0(x, y), \chi_t^j(x)\Phi(x, t) \otimes \chi_t^j(y)\Phi(y, t)\rangle. \tag{8.18}$$

According to (8.6), Eqn (8.18) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E|r_t^j|^2 &\le Ct^{-d} \sum_{x,y} \chi_t^j(x) \|Q_0(x, y)\| \\ &= Ct^{-d} \sum_{x} \chi_t^j(x) \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \|Q_0(x, y)\| \le C\Delta_t/t, \end{aligned} \tag{8.19}$$

where $\|Q_0(x, y)\|$ stands for the norm of a matrix $\Big(Q_0^{ij}(x, y)\Big)$. Therefore, (8.19) follows by Proposition 4.2, i). □

Now we prove the convergence (1.12). As was said, we use a version of the Central Limit Theorem developed by Ibragimov and Linnik. If $\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi, \Psi) = 0$, the convergence (1.12) is obvious. In fact, then,

$$\begin{aligned} &|E \exp\{i\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle\} - \hat\mu_\infty(\Psi)| = E|\exp\{i\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle\} - 1| \le E|\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle| \\ \le\ &\Big(E|\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot, t)\rangle|^2\Big)^{1/2} = \Big(\langle Q_0(x, y), \Phi(x, t) \otimes \Phi(y, t)\rangle\Big)^{1/2} = \Big(\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi, \Psi)\Big)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.20}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi, \Psi) \to \mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi, \Psi) = 0$, $t \to \infty$. Therefore, (1.12) follows from (6.1). Thus, we may assume that for a given $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}^0$,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi, \Psi) \ne 0. \tag{8.21}$$

Let us choose $0 < \delta < 1$ and

$$\rho_t \sim t^{1-\delta}, \quad \Delta_t \sim \frac{t}{\log t}, \qquad t \to \infty. \tag{8.22}$$

Lemma 8.3 *The following limit holds true:*

$$N_t\Big(\varphi(\rho_t)+\Big(\frac{\rho_t}{t}\Big)^{1/2}\Big)+N_t^2\Big(\varphi^{1/2}(\rho_t)+\frac{\rho_t}{t}\Big)\to 0,\quad t\to\infty. \tag{8.23}$$

Proof. Function $\varphi(r)$ is nonincreasing, hence by (2.13),

$$r^d\varphi^{1/2}(r)=d\int\limits_0^r s^{d-1}\varphi^{1/2}(r)\,ds\le d\int\limits_0^r s^{d-1}\varphi^{1/2}(s)\,ds\le C\overline{\varphi}<\infty. \tag{8.24}$$

Furthermore, (8.22) implies that $h_t=\rho_t+\Delta_t\sim\dfrac{t}{\log t}$, $t\to\infty$. Therefore, $N_t\sim\dfrac{t}{h_t}\sim\log t$. Then (8.23) follows by (8.24) and (8.22). □

By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}|E\exp\{i\langle Y_0,\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle\}-\hat\mu_\infty(\Psi)| \;&\le\; |E\exp\{i\langle Y_0,\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle\}-E\exp\{i{\textstyle\sum_t} r_t^j\}|\\ &\quad+|\exp\{-\tfrac12{\textstyle\sum_t}E|r_t^j|^2\}-\exp\{-\tfrac12\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)\}|\\ &\quad+|E\exp\{i{\textstyle\sum_t}r_t^j\}-\exp\{-\tfrac12{\textstyle\sum_t}E|r_t^j|^2\}|\\ &\equiv\; I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

We are going to show that all summands I_1, I_2, I_3 tend to zero as $t\to\infty$.
Step (i) Eqn (8.14) implies

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= |E\exp\{i{\textstyle\sum_t}r_t^j\}\Big(\exp\{i{\textstyle\sum_t}c_t^j+il_t\}-1\Big)|\\ &\le {\textstyle\sum_t}E|c_t^j|+E|l_t|\le{\textstyle\sum_t}(E|c_t^j|^2)^{1/2}+(E|l_t|^2)^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.26}$$

From (8.26), (8.16), (8.17) and (8.23) we obtain that

$$I_1\le C_pt^{-p}+CN_t(\rho_t/t)^{1/2}\to 0,\quad t\to\infty. \tag{8.27}$$

Step (ii) By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &\le \frac12|{\textstyle\sum_t}E|r_t^j|^2-\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)|\le\frac12\,|\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)-\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)|\\ &\quad+\frac12\,|E\Big({\textstyle\sum_t}r_t^j\Big)^2-{\textstyle\sum_t}E|r_t^j|^2|+\frac12\,|E\Big({\textstyle\sum_t}r_t^j\Big)^2-\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)|\\ &\equiv I_{21}+I_{22}+I_{23},\end{aligned} \tag{8.28}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_t$ is a quadratic form with the matrix kernel $\Big(Q_t^{ij}(x,y)\Big)$. (6.1) implies that $I_{21}\to 0$. As to I_{22}, we first have that

$$I_{22}\le\sum_{j<l}|Er_t^jr_t^l|. \tag{8.29}$$

The next lemma is a corollary of [12, Lemma 17.2.3].

Lemma 8.4 *Let $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}$ be the subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with the distance* $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\ge r>0$ *, and ξ,η be random variables on the probability space $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha),\mu_0)$. Moreover, let ξ be measurable with respect to the σ-algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$, η with respect to the σ-algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$. Then*
i) $|E\xi\eta-E\xi E\eta|\le Cab\,\varphi^{1/2}(r)$ *if* $(E|\xi|^2)^{1/2}\le a$ *and* $(E|\eta|^2)^{1/2}\le b$.
ii) $|E\xi\eta-E\xi E\eta|\le Cab\,\varphi(r)$ *if* $|\xi|\le a$ *and* $|\eta|\le b$ *a.e.*

We apply Lemma 8.4 to deduce that $I_{22}\to 0$ as $t\to\infty$. Note that $r_t^j=\langle Y_0,\chi_t^j\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle$ is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra $\sigma(R_t^j)$. The distance between the different rooms R_t^j is greater or equal to ρ_t according to (8.10). Then (8.29) and **S1**, **S3** imply, together with Lemma 8.4 i) and (8.15), that

$$I_{22}\le CN_t^2\varphi^{1/2}(\rho_t), \tag{8.30}$$

which vanishes as $t\to\infty$ because of (8.23). Finally, it remains to check that $I_{23}\to 0$, $t\to\infty$. We have

$$\mathcal{Q}_t(\Psi,\Psi)=E\langle Y_0,\Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle^2=E\Big(\sum\nolimits_t(r_t^j+c_t^j)+l_t\Big)^2,$$

according to (8.14). Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{23} &\le |E\Big(\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j\Big)^2-E\Big(\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j+\sum\nolimits_t c_t^j+l_t\Big)^2| \\ &\le CN_t\sum\nolimits_t E|c_t^j|^2+C_1\Big(E(\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j)^2\Big)^{1/2}\Big(N_t\sum\nolimits_t E|c_t^j|^2+E|l_t|^2\Big)^{1/2}+CE|l_t|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.31}$$

Then (8.15), (8.29) and (8.30) imply

$$E(\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j)^2\le\sum\nolimits_t E|r_t^j|^2+2\sum\nolimits_{j<l}|Er_t^jr_t^l|\le CN_t\Delta_t/t+C_1N_t\varphi^{1/2}(\rho_t)\le C_2<\infty.$$

Now (8.16), (8.17), (8.31) and (8.23) yield

$$I_{23}\le C_1N_t^2\rho_t/t+C_2N_t(\rho_t/t)^{1/2}+C_3t^{-p}\to 0,\quad t\to\infty. \tag{8.32}$$

So, all terms I_{21} , I_{22} , I_{23} in (8.28) tend to zero. Then (8.28) implies that

$$I_2\le\frac12\,|\sum\nolimits_t E|r_t^j|^2-\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)|\to 0,\quad t\to\infty. \tag{8.33}$$

Step (iii) It remains to verify that

$$I_3=|E\exp\{i\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j\}-\exp\{-\frac12\sum\nolimits_t E|r_t^j|^2\}|\to 0,\quad t\to\infty.$$

Lemma 8.4 ii) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &|E\exp\{i\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j\}-\prod_{-N_t}^{N_t-1}E\exp\{ir_t^j\}| \\ \le\ &|E\exp\{ir_t^{-N_t}\}\exp\{i\sum_{-N_t+1}^{N_t-1}r_t^j\}-E\exp\{ir_t^{-N_t}\}E\exp\{i\sum_{-N_t+1}^{N_t-1}r_t^j\}| \\ &+|E\exp\{ir_t^{-N_t}\}E\exp\{i\sum_{-N_t+1}^{N_t-1}r_t^j\}-\prod_{-N_t}^{N_t-1}E\exp\{ir_t^j\}| \\ \le\ &C\varphi(\rho_t)+|E\exp\{i\sum_{-N_t+1}^{N_t-1}r_t^j\}-\prod_{-N_t+1}^{N_t-1}E\exp\{ir_t^j\}|. \end{aligned}$$

We then apply Lemma 8.4, ii) recursively and get, according to Lemma 8.3,

$$|E\exp\{i\sum_t r_t^j\} - \prod_{-N_t}^{N_t-1} E\exp\{ir_t^j\}| \le CN_t\varphi(\rho_t) \to 0, \quad t\to\infty.$$

It remains to check that

$$|\prod_{-N_t}^{N_t-1} E\exp\{ir_t^j\} - \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_t E|r_t^j|^2\}| \to 0, \quad t\to\infty.$$

According to the standard statement of the Central Limit Theorem (see, e.g. [17, Theorem 4.7]), it suffices to verify the Lindeberg condition: $\forall\delta>0$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_t}\sum_t E_{\delta\sqrt{\sigma_t}}|r_t^j|^2 \to 0, \quad t\to\infty.$$

Here $\sigma_t \equiv \sum_t E|r_t^j|^2$, and $E_\varepsilon f \equiv E(X_\varepsilon f)$, where X_a is the indicator of the event $|f|>\varepsilon^2$. Note that (8.33) and (8.21) imply that $\sigma_t \to \mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi)\neq 0$, $t\to\infty$. Hence it remains to verify that

$$\sum_t E_\varepsilon |r_t^j|^2 \to 0, \quad t\to\infty, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon>0. \tag{8.34}$$

We check Eqn (8.34) in Section 9. This will complete the proof of Proposition 2.11. □

9 The Lindeberg condition

The proof of (8.34) can be reduced to the case when for some $\Lambda\ge 0$ we have that

$$|u_0(x)|+|v_0(x)| \le \Lambda < \infty, \quad x\in\mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{9.1}$$

Then the proof of (8.34) is reduced to the convergence

$$\sum_t E|r_t^j|^4 \to 0, \quad t\to\infty, \tag{9.2}$$

by using Chebyshev's inequality. The general case can be covered by standard cutoff arguments by taking into account that the bound (8.15) for $E|r_t^j|^2$ depends only on e_0 and φ. The last fact is obvious from (8.19) and (4.4). We deduce (9.2) from

Theorem 9.1 *Let the conditions of Theorem A hold and assume that (9.1) is fulfilled. Then for any $\Psi\in\mathcal{D}^0$ the following bounds hold:*

$$E|r_t^j|^4 \le C(\Psi)\Lambda^4\Delta_t^2/t^2, \quad t>1. \tag{9.3}$$

Proof. *Step 1* Given four points $x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4\in\mathbb{Z}^d$, set: $M_0^{(4)}(x^1,...,x^4) = E\left(Y_0(x^1)\otimes...\otimes Y_0(x^4)\right)$. Then, similarly to (8.18), Eqns (9.1) and (8.13) imply

$$E|r_t^j|^4 = \langle \chi_t^j(x^1)\dots\chi_t^j(x^4)M_0^{(4)}(x^1,\dots,x^4), \Phi(x^1,t)\otimes\dots\otimes\Phi(x^4,t)\rangle. \tag{9.4}$$

Let us analyze the domain of the $(\mathbb{Z}^d)^4$ in the RHS of (9.4). We partition $(\mathbb{Z}^d)^4$ into three parts, W_2, W_3 and W_4:

$$(\mathbb{Z}^d)^4 = \bigcup_{i=2}^{4} W_i, \quad W_i = \{\bar{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^4 : |x^1 - x^i| = \max_{p=2,3,4} |x^1 - x^p|\}. \tag{9.5}$$

Furthermore, given $\bar{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in W_i$, divide $\mathbb{Z}^d$ into three parts S_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$: $\mathbb{Z}^d = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, by two hyperplanes orthogonal to the segment $[x^1, x^i]$ and partitioning it into three equal segments, where $x^1 \in S_1$ and $x^i \in S_3$. Denote by x^p, x^q the two remaining points with $p, q \neq 1, i$. Set: $\mathcal{A}_i = \{\bar{x} \in W_i : \ x^p \in S_1, x^q \in S_3\}$, $\mathcal{B}_i = \{\bar{x} \in W_i : \ x^p, x^q \notin S_1\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_i = \{\bar{x} \in W_i : \ x^p, x^q \notin S_3\}$, $i = 2, 3, 4$. Then $W_i = \mathcal{A}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_i \cup \mathcal{C}_i$. Define the function $\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(\bar{x})$, $\bar{x} \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^4$, in the following way:

$$\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(\bar{x})\Big|_{W_i} = \begin{cases} M_0^{(4)}(\bar{x}) - Q_0(x^1, x^p) \otimes Q_0(x^i, x^q), & \bar{x} \in \mathcal{A}_i, \\ M_0^{(4)}(\bar{x}), \quad \bar{x} \in \mathcal{B}_i \cup \mathcal{C}_i. \end{cases} \tag{9.6}$$

This determines $\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(\bar{x})$ correctly for all quadruples $\bar{x}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \chi_t^j(x^1) \ldots \chi_t^j(x^4) Q_0(x^1, x^p) \otimes Q_0(x^i, x^q), \Phi(x^1, t) \otimes \ldots \otimes \Phi(x^4, t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi_t^j(x^1) \chi_t^j(x^p) Q_0(x^1, x^p), \Phi(x^1, t) \otimes \Phi(x^p, t) \rangle \ \langle \chi_t^j(x^i) \chi_t^j(x^q) Q_0(x^i, x^q), \Phi(x^i, t) \otimes \Phi(x^q, t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Each factor here is bounded by $C(\Psi)\, \Delta_t / t$. Similarly to (8.15), this can be deduced from an expression of type (8.18) for the factors. Therefore, the proof of (9.3) reduces to the proof of the bound

$$I_t := |\langle \chi_t^j(x^1) \ldots \chi_t^j(x^4) \mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(x^1, \ldots, x^4), \Phi(x^1, t) \otimes \ldots \otimes \Phi(x^4, t) \rangle| \leq C(\Psi) \Lambda^4 \Delta_t^2 / t^2, \quad t > 1. \tag{9.7}$$

Step 2 Similarly to (8.19), the estimate (8.6) implies,

$$I_t \leq C\, t^{-2d} \sum_{\bar{x}} \chi_t^j(x^1) \ldots \chi_t^j(x^4) |\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(x^1, \ldots, x^4)|, \tag{9.8}$$

We estimate $\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}$ using Lemma 8.4 ii).

Lemma 9.2 *For each* $i = 2, 3, 4$ *and all* $\overline{x} \in W_i$ *the following bound holds:*

$$|\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(x^1, \ldots, x^4)| \leq C \Lambda^4 \varphi(|x^1 - x^i|/3). \tag{9.9}$$

Proof. For $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{A}_i$ we apply Lemma 8.4 ii) to $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$-valued random variables $\xi = Y_0(x^1) \otimes Y_0(x^p)$ and $\eta = Y_0(x^i) \otimes Y_0(x^q)$. Then (9.1) implies the bound for all $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{A}_i$,

$$|\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(\bar{x})| \leq C \Lambda^4 \varphi(|x^1 - x^i|/3). \tag{9.10}$$

For $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{B}_i$, we apply Lemma 8.4 ii) to $\xi = Y_0(x_1)$ and $\eta = Y_0(x^p) \otimes Y_0(x^q) \otimes Y_0(x^i)$. Then **S0** implies a similar bound for all $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{B}_i$,

$$|\mathrm{m}_0^{(4)}(\bar{x})| = |M_0^{(4)}(\bar{x}) - E Y_0(x^1) \otimes E\Big(Y_0(x_p) \otimes Y_0(x^q) \otimes Y_0(x^i)\Big)| \leq C \Lambda^4 \varphi(|x^1 - x^i|/3), \tag{9.11}$$

and the same for all $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{C}_i$. □

Step 3 It remains to prove the following bounds for each $i = 2, 3, 4$:

$$V_i(t) := \sum_{\bar{x}} \chi_t^j(x^1)\dots\chi_t^j(x^4)X_i(\overline{x})\varphi(|x^1 - x^i|/3) \le C\Delta_t^2 t^{2d-2}, \tag{9.12}$$

where X_i is an indicator of the set W_i. In fact, this sum does not depend on i, hence set $i = 2$ in the summand:

$$V_i(t) \le C \sum_{x^1,x^2} \chi_t^j(x^1)\chi_t^j(x^2)\varphi(|x^1 - x^2|/3) \sum_{x^3} \chi_t^j(x^3) \sum_{x^4} \chi_t^j(x^4)X_2(\overline{x}). \tag{9.13}$$

Now a key observation is that the inner sum in x^4 is $\mathcal{O}(|x^1 - x^2|^d)$ as $X_2(\overline{x}) = 0$ for $|x^4 - x^1| > |x^1 - x^2|$. This implies

$$V_i(t) \le C \sum_{x^1} \chi_t^j(x^1) \sum_{x^2} \chi_t^j(x^2)\varphi(|x^1 - x^2|/3)|x^1 - x^2|^d \sum_{x^3} \chi_t^j(x^3). \tag{9.14}$$

Remember that $\chi_t^j(x)$ is an indicator of the room $R_t^j = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x| \le N_t h_t, a^j \le x_d < b^j\}$, where $N_t = [\gamma t/h_t]$. The inner sum in x^2 is bounded as

$$\int\limits_{|x^2|\le\gamma t} \varphi(|x^1 - x^2|/3)|x^1 - x^2|^d \, dx^2 \le C(d) \int\limits_0^{2\gamma t} r^{2d-1}\varphi(r/3)\, dr$$

$$\le C_1(d) \sup_{r\in[0,2\gamma t]} r^d\varphi^{1/2}(r/3) \int\limits_0^{2\gamma t} r^{d-1}\varphi^{1/2}(r/3)\, dr, \tag{9.15}$$

where the ‘ sup ’ and the last integral are bounded by (8.24) and (2.13), respectively. Therefore, (9.12) follows from (9.14). This completes the proof of Theorem 9.1. □

10 Appendix. Dynamics and covariance in Fourier space

Proof of Proposition 2.4 Applying Fourier transform to (1.3) we obtain

$$\dot{\hat{Y}}(t) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)\hat{Y}(t), \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; \hat{Y}(0) = \hat{Y}_0. \tag{10.1}$$

Here we denote

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\hat{V}(\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in T^d. \tag{10.2}$$

Note that $\hat{Y}(\cdot, t) \in D'(T^d)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, $\hat{V}(\theta)$ is a smooth function by **E1**. Therefore, the solution $\hat{Y}(\theta, t)$ of (10.1) exists, is unique and admits the representation $\hat{Y}(\theta, t) = \exp\Big(\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)t\Big)\hat{Y}_0(\theta)$ which becomes the convolution

$$Y(x, t) = \sum_{x'\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mathcal{G}_t(x - x')Y_0(x') \tag{10.3}$$

in the coordinate space, where the Green function $\mathcal{G}_t(z)$ admits the Fourier representation

$$\mathcal{G}_t(z) := F^{-1}_{\theta\to z}[\exp{(\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)t)}] = (2\pi)^{-d}\int\limits_{T^d} e^{-iz\theta}\exp{(\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)t)}d\theta. \tag{10.4}$$

Hence, by the partial integration, $\mathcal{G}_t(z)\sim|z|^{-p}$ as $|z|\to\infty$ for any $p>0$ and bounded $|t|$ since $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)$ is the smooth function of $\theta\in T^d$. Therefore, the convolution representation (10.3) implies $Y(t)\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. □

Covariance in Fourier space Note that $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)$ has a form

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos\Omega t & \sin\Omega t\ \Omega^{-1} \\ -\sin\Omega t\ \Omega & \cos\Omega t \end{array}\right), \tag{10.5}$$

where $\Omega=\Omega(\theta)$ is the Hermitian matrix defined by (2.2). Let $\hat{C}(\theta)$ be defined by (2.20) and I be the identity matrix. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta) = \cos\Omega t\, I + \sin\Omega t\, \hat{C}(\theta). \tag{10.6}$$

Denote by $Q(x,y) := E\Big(Y_0(x)\otimes Y_0(y)\Big)$, and $Q_t(x,y) := E\Big(Y(x,t)\otimes Y(y,t)\Big)$. Hence, applying Fourier transform to $Q_t(x,y)$ we get

$$\hat{Q}_t(\theta,\theta') := F_{x\to\theta,y\to-\theta'}Q_t(x,y) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}^T_t(-\theta'),$$

where $\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta') := F_{x\to\theta,y\to-\theta'}Q(x,y)$. Note that due to condition **E2** $\Omega^T(-\theta') = \Omega^*(\theta') = \Omega(\theta')$ and then $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^T_t(-\theta') = \hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta')$, where

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta) := \cos\Omega t\, I + \sin\Omega t\, \hat{C}^*(\theta). \tag{10.7}$$

Here $\hat{C}^*$ is Hermitian adjoint matrix to $\hat{C}$ as in (2.20). Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{Q}_t(\theta,\theta') &=& \cos\Omega(\theta)t\ \hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\ \cos\Omega(\theta')t + \sin\Omega(\theta)t\ \hat{C}(\theta)\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\hat{C}^*(\theta')\ \sin\Omega(\theta')t \\ && +\cos\Omega(\theta)t\ \hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\hat{C}^*(\theta')\ \sin\Omega(\theta')t + \sin\Omega(\theta)t\ \hat{C}(\theta)\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\ \cos\Omega(\theta')t. \end{array} \tag{10.8}$$

Now, for simplicity of calculations, we will assume that the set of the 'crossing' points θ_* is empty, i.e. $\omega_k(\theta)\neq\omega_l(\theta)$, $\forall k,l\in\overline{n}$, and the functions $\omega_k(\theta)$ and $B(\theta)$ are real-analytic. For example, this is the case of the simple elastic lattice (2.25). (Otherwise, we need a partition of unity (7.7)). Consider the first term in the RHS of (10.8). We rewrite it using (2.3) in the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \cos\Omega(\theta)t\ \hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\ \cos\Omega(\theta')t = B(\theta)\Big(\cos\omega_k(\theta)t\ A(\theta,\theta')_{kl}\cos\omega_l(\theta')t\Big)_{k,l\in\bar{n}}B^*(\theta') \\ = B(\theta)\frac{1}{2}\Big((\cos(\omega_k(\theta){-}\omega_l(\theta'))t + \cos(\omega_k(\theta){+}\omega_l(\theta'))t)A(\theta,\theta')_{kl}\Big)_{k,l\in\bar{n}}B^*(\theta'), \end{array} \tag{10.9}$$

where $A(\theta,\theta') := B^*(\theta)\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')B(\theta')$. Similarly, we can rewrite the remaining three terms in the RHS of (10.8). Finally,

$$\hat{Q}_t(\theta,\theta') = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{Q}(\theta,\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta') = B(\theta)R_t(\theta,\theta')B^*(\theta'), \tag{10.10}$$

where by $R_t(\theta,\theta')$ we denote the $2n\times 2n$ matrix with the entries

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(\theta,\theta')_{kl} \; &:= \; \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\Big\{\cos\big(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta')\big)t\;\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat Q(\theta,\theta')\mp\hat C(\theta)\hat Q(\theta,\theta')\hat C^*(\theta')\Big)B(\theta')\Big]_{kl} \\ &\quad +\sin\big(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta')\big)t\;\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat C(\theta)\hat Q(\theta,\theta')\pm\hat Q(\theta,\theta')\hat C^*(\theta')\Big)B(\theta')\Big]_{kl}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.11}$$

In the translation-invariant case $Q(x,y)=q(x-y)$, $\hat Q(\theta,\theta')=\delta(\theta-\theta')\hat q(\theta)$ and we get

$$\hat Q_t(\theta,\theta')=\delta(\theta-\theta')B(\theta)R_t(\theta)B^*(\theta), \tag{10.12}$$

where by $R_t(\theta)$ we denote the $2n\times 2n$ matrix with the entries

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(\theta)_{kl} \; &= \; \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\Big\{\cos\big(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\big)t\;\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat q(\theta)\mp\hat C(\theta)\hat q(\theta)\hat C^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl} \\ &\quad +\sin\big(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\big)t\;\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat C(\theta)\hat q(\theta)\pm\hat q(\theta)\hat C^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.13}$$

Let us denote by $p(\theta):=B^*(\theta)\hat q(\theta)B(\theta)$. Then by (2.3) and (2.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(\theta)_{kl} \; &= \; \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\Big\{\cos\big(\omega_k(\theta)\mp\omega_l(\theta)\big)t\;\begin{pmatrix} p^{00}_{kl}\pm\omega_k^{-1}p^{11}_{kl}\omega_l^{-1} & p^{01}_{kl}\mp\omega_k^{-1}p^{10}_{kl}\omega_l \\ p^{10}_{kl}\mp\omega_k p^{01}_{kl}\omega_l^{-1} & p^{11}_{kl}\pm\omega_k p^{00}_{kl}\omega_l \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad +\sin\big(\omega_k(\theta)\pm\omega_l(\theta)\big)t\;\begin{pmatrix} \omega_k^{-1}p^{10}_{kl}\pm p^{01}_{kl}\omega_l^{-1} & \omega_k^{-1}p^{11}_{kl}\mp p^{00}_{kl}\omega_l \\ -\omega_k p^{00}_{kl}\pm p^{11}_{kl}\omega_l^{-1} & -\omega_k p^{01}_{kl}\mp p^{10}_{kl}\omega_l \end{pmatrix}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.14}$$

We enumerate the eigenvalues $\omega_k(\theta)$ as in (2.5). Then $\cos\big(\omega_k(\theta)-\omega_l(\theta)\big)t=1$ for $k,l\in(r_{\sigma-1},r_\sigma]$, $\sigma=1,\ldots,s+1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_t(\theta)_{kl} \; &= \; \frac{1}{2}\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat q(\theta)+\hat C(\theta)\hat q(\theta)\hat C^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}\cos 2\omega_k(\theta)t\;\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat q(\theta)-\hat C(\theta)\hat q(\theta)\hat C^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}\sin 2\omega_k(\theta)t\;\Big[B^*(\theta)\Big(\hat C(\theta)\hat q(\theta)+\hat q(\theta)\hat C^*(\theta)\Big)B(\theta)\Big]_{kl}. \end{aligned} \tag{10.15}$$

References

[1] C. Boldrighini, A. Pellegrinotti, L. Triolo, Convergence to stationary states for infinite harmonic systems, *Journ. Stat. Phys.*, **30** (1983), 123-155.

[2] F. Bonetto, J.L. Lebowitz, E. Lieb, Fourier law: a challenge to theorists, p.128-150 in: Fokas, A. (ed.) et al., Mathematical physics 2000. International congress, London, GB, Imperial College Press, London, 2000. arXiv: math-ph/ 0002052, 2000.

[3] I.P. Cornfeld, S.V. Fomin, Ya.G. Sinai, Ergodic Theory, Springer, New York, 1981.

[4] R.L. Dobrushin, Yu.M. Suhov, On the problem of the mathematical foundation of the Gibbs postulate in classical statistical mechanics, p. 325-340 in: Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics, Lecture Notes in Physics, v. 80, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[5] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, Ergodic properties of hyperbolic equations with mixing, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **41** (1996), no.3, 436-448.

[6] T.V. Dudnikova, Stabilization of space-time statistical solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation, *Russian J. Math. Physics* **5** (1997), no.2, 176–188.

[7] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, E.A. Kopylova, Yu.M. Suhov, On convergence to equilibrium distribution, I. The Klein-Gordon equation with mixing, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **225** (2002), no.1, 1-32.

[8] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, N.E. Ratanov, Yu.M. Suhov, On convergence to equilibrium distribution, II. The wave equation in odd dimensions, with mixing, *J. Stat. Phys.* **108** (2002), no.4, 1219-1253.

[9] T.V. Dudnikova, A.I. Komech, H. Spohn, On a two-temperature problem for wave equation, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **8** (2002), 43-80.

[10] T. Dudnikova, A. Komech, H. Spohn, On convergence to the statistical equilibrium for discrete Klein-Gordon equation, Abstracts of 3-d International ISAAC Congress, Germany, 2001, p.110.

[11] T. Dudnikova, A. Komech, H. Spohn, On convergence to statistical equilibrium for harmonic crystal, submitted to *J. Math. Phys.*, 2002. ArXiv: math-ph/0210039.

[12] I.A. Ibragimov, Yu.V. Linnik, Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971.

[13] M.V. Fedoryuk, The stationary phase method and pseudodifferential operators, *Russ. Math. Surveys* **26** (1971), no.1, 65–115.

[14] E.A. Kopylova, Stabilization of statistical solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation, *Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin* **41** (1986), no.2, 72–75.

[15] O.E. Lanford III, J.L. Lebowitz, Time Evolution and Ergodic Properties of Harmonic Systems, *in:* Dynamical Systems, Theory and Applications, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **38** (1975), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[16] H. Nakazawa, On the lattice thermal conduction, *Supplement of the Progress of Theor. Phys.* **45** (1970), 231–262.

[17] V.V. Petrov, Limit Theorems of Probability Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[18] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics III: Scattering Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[19] Z. Rieder, J.L. Lebowitz, E. Lieb, Properties of a harmonic crystal in a stationary nonequilibrium state, *J. Math. Phys.* **8** (1967), no.5, 1073.

[20] M.A. Rosenblatt, A central limit theorem and a strong mixing condition, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42** (1956), no.1, 43-47.

[21] H. Spohn, J. Lebowitz, Stationary non-equilibrium states of infinite harmonic systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **54** (1977), no. 2, 97-120.

[22] M.I. Vishik, A.V. Fursikov, Mathematical Problems of Statistical Hydromechanics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1988.